arXiv:1412.3174v2 [math.NT] 8 Jun 2016

DIEUDONNÉ CRYSTALS AND WACH MODULES FOR p-DIVISIBLE GROUPS

BRYDEN CAIS AND EIKE LAU

ABSTRACT. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 2$ and K an extension of $F = \operatorname{Frac} W(k)$ contained in some $F(\mu_{p^r})$. Using crystalline Dieudonné theory, we provide a classification of p-divisible groups over $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ in terms of finite height (φ, Γ)-modules over $\mathfrak{S} := W(k)[[u, t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$. When $d = 0$, such a classification is a consequence of (a special case of) the theory of Kisin–Ren; in this setting, our construction gives an independent proof of this result, and moreover allows us to recover the Dieudonné crystal of a p-divisible group from the Wach module associated to its Tate module by Berger–Breuil or by Kisin–Ren.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Frames, windows, and Dieudonné crystals 3
2.1. Frames and windows 4
2.2. Lifting windows modulo powers of p 7
2.3. Descent of windows from S to $\mathfrak{S}$ 8
2.4. Dieudonné crystals and the Hodge filtration 10
2.5. Dieudonné crystals and windows 11
2.6. Dieudonné crystals and windows with connection 12
2.7. Dieudonné theory over complete regular local rings 14
3. Dieudonné theory over cyclotomic rings 17
3.1. Strict actions of the cyclotomic Galois group 17
3.2. Construction of strict actions 19
3.3. Construction of the connection 20
3.4. p-divisible groups with descent 23
4. Galois representations 24
4.1. Relative period rings 25
4.2. Recovering the Tate module 26
4.3. The case $d = 0$ 28
4.4. Modules of finite E-height 29
4.5. Representations of finite height and Wach modules 31
References 33

Date: April 19, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14L05, 14F30 Secondary: 11F80.
Key words and phrases. Frames and windows, p-divisible groups, Wach modules.
Acknowledgements to be added.

1. Introduction

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 2$ and let K be a finite extension of $F = \operatorname{Frac} W(k)$ contained in $F_r = F(\mu_{p^r})$ for a fixed $r \geq 1$. Fix $d \geq 0$ and write $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ for the ring of power series in d variables over the valuation ring of K. Our main result is the following description of the category $\mathrm{pdiv}(R)$ of p-divisible groups over R in terms of (φ, Γ)-modules.

Put $F_\infty = F(\mu_{p^\infty})$ and let $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/K)$. The ring $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[u, t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ is equipped with the cyclotomic Frobenius $\varphi(1+u) = (1+u)^p$ and $\varphi(t_i) = t_i^p$, and with an action of Γ_F by $\gamma(1+u) = (1+u)^{\chi(\gamma)}$ where χ is the p-adic cyclotomic character, while $\gamma(t_i) = t_i$. We define $E = \varphi^r(u)/\varphi^{r-1}(u)$ and denote by $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})_{\Gamma_K}$ the category of finite free (φ, Γ_K)-modules $\mathfrak{M}$ over $\mathfrak{S}$ such that the $\mathfrak{S}$-module generated by $\varphi(\mathfrak{M})$ contains $E\mathfrak{M}$ and Γ_K acts trivially on $\mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M}$.

Theorem 1. *There is a contravariant equivalence of categories between* $\mathrm{pdiv}(R)$ *and* $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})_{\Gamma_K}$ *sending* G *to* $\mathfrak{M}(G)$.

Explicitly, this is proved in Corollary 3.4.6; see Proposition 3.4.5 for a slightly more general statement. The equivalence will be derived from crystalline Dieudonné theory, which allows to recover from $\mathfrak{M}(G)$ the Dieudonné crystal of G. Moreover it is compatible with duality and with change of r and K and also allows to recover from $\mathfrak{M}(G)$ the Tate module of G as a representation of the absolute Galois group of $\operatorname{Frac} R[t_1^{p^{-\infty}}, \ldots, t_d^{p^{-\infty}}]$; see Proposition 4.2.2.

In the case $d = 0$ (so $R = \mathcal{O}_K$), using Kisin's result [32] that every crystalline representation of the absolute Galois group $\mathcal{G}_K$ with Hodge-Tate weights 0 and 1 comes from a p-divisible group over $\mathcal{O}_K$, Theorem 1 is a consequence of the description of lattices in crystalline representations of $\mathcal{G}_K$ in terms of Wach modules by Wach [44], Colmez [19], Berger-Breuil [4], or in terms of the modules of Kisin-Ren [33]. In fact, the latter generalise a variant of the former to the case of a Lubin-Tate extension in place of the cyclotomic extension, and our modules $\mathfrak{M}(G)$ essentially coincide with those of [33, Corollary 3.3.8]; see §4.4.

However, even for $d = 0$, our approach gives more than just an independent proof of this fact as it provides a link between Kisin-Ren or Wach modules and Dieudonné crystals. This can be important in applications, as for example in [14].

Let us outline the proof of Theorem 1. For simplicity we assume that $K = F_r$, so E is the minimal polynomial of a prime element of $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $E\mathfrak{S}$ of $\mathfrak{S}$. We denote by $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})_{\Gamma_K}$ the category of strongly divisible modules M in the sense of Breuil [11], called windows by Zink [48], equipped with an action of Γ_K that is trivial on $M \otimes_S W(k)$. There are natural functors

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})_{\Gamma_K} \longleftarrow \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})_{\Gamma_K}, \tag{1.1}$$

the first given by evaluating the Dieudonné crystal of a p-divisible group, and the second by a base change operation $\mathfrak{M} \mapsto M = \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S},\varphi} S$. The second functor in (1.1) is an equivalence by known results. We will show that the first functor is an equivalence too, which proves Theorem 1.

Let us go into a little more detail. Without the action of Γ_K, the construction makes sense for *any* $d+1$-dimensional complete regular local ring R' of characteristic zero and residue field k. Writing R' as a quotient of the ring $\mathfrak{S}' = W(k)[[u_0, \dots, u_d]]$ and equipping $\mathfrak{S}'$ with an arbitrary Frobenius lift φ, we obtain functors

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R') \longrightarrow \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}') \longleftarrow \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}') \tag{1.2}$$

where S' is again the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the kernel of $\mathfrak{S}' \to R'$. The second functor in (1.2) is always an equivalence by Proposition 2.3.2 below; when $d = 0$ (*i.e.* $R' = \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ for a totally ramified extension K' of F) this is proved by Caruso-Liu [16], and the case $R' = \mathcal{O}_{K'}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ is covered by Kim [31].

We prove in Proposition 2.7.1 below that the first functor in (1.2) is an equivalence when the divided derivative $p^{-1}d\varphi$ of the Frobenius lift φ on $\mathfrak{S}'$ is topologically nilpotent, which is for example satisfied when $\varphi(u_i) = u_i^p$, but not in the setting of Theorem 1 with $\varphi(1+u) = (1+u)^p$. In the case $R' = \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ and $\varphi(u) = u^p$, this equivalence is due to Breuil [11]; the resulting equivalence between the categories $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{K'})$ and $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}')$ was first proved by Kisin [32] using a different route.

In order to use the equivalence of Proposition 2.7.1 we have to switch between different choices of φ. We consider the category $\mathrm{DF}(R')$ of Dieudonné crystals over $\mathrm{Spf}\, R'$ equipped with an admissible Hodge filtration as in Definition 2.4.1 below. For every choice of φ, this category is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}')^{\nabla}$ of windows with a connection. If the divided derivative $p^{-1}d\varphi$ is topologically nilpotent, the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}')^{\nabla} \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}')$ is an equivalence. It follows that the crystalline Dieudonné functor $\mathrm{pdiv}(R') \to \mathrm{DF}(R')$ is an equivalence because both categories are equivalent to $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}')$ when $\varphi(u_i) = u_i^p$. This implies that for every φ, the functor $\mathrm{pdiv}(R') \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}')^{\nabla}$ is an equivalence.

It remains to see that in the "cyclotomic" situation of Theorem 1, the category $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})_{\Gamma_K}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla}$. The essential point is that ∇ is determined by the differential operator $N = \nabla_{\partial/\partial u}$, and the differential at the identity of an action of Γ_K gives N. This construction is well-known in the context of (φ, Γ)-modules over the Robba ring, see [2, §4.1], but additional care is required in the present situation as we must control the denominators of p which occur.

While the relation between the module $\mathfrak{M}(G)$ and the Dieudonné crystal of G is clear from the construction, to recover the Tate module of G from $\mathfrak{M}(G)$ we use a variant of Faltings' integral comparison isomorphism for p-divisible groups [24, Theorem 7]. It is then straightforward to relate $\mathfrak{M}(G)$ to the modules of Kisin-Ren [33] and Berger-Breuil [4] when $d = 0$.

2. Frames, windows, and Dieudonné crystals

Let p be a prime. In this section, we recall and elaborate on the relation between Dieudonné crystals, Breuil modules, and Kisin modules associated to p-divisible groups following [5, 11, 21, 32, 31, 36, 48]. Most of this is well-known, but some aspects appear to be new. Technically we will use the notion of frames and windows, which we recall first.

2.1. **Frames and windows.** Frames and windows were introduced by Zink [48] and generalised in [35]. We use the definition of [35] with some minor modifications.

Definition 2.1.1. A *frame* $\underline{S} := (S, \operatorname{Fil} S, R, \varphi, \varphi_1, \varpi)$ consists of a ring S, an ideal $\operatorname{Fil} S$ of S, the quotient ring $R := S/\operatorname{Fil} S$, a ring endomorphism $\varphi : S \to S$ reducing to the p-power map modulo pS, a φ-linear map $\varphi_1 : \operatorname{Fil} S \to S$, and an element $\varpi \in S$ such that $\varphi = \varpi\varphi_1$ on $\operatorname{Fil} S$. We further require that $\operatorname{Fil} S + pS \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(S)$. We call $\underline{S}$ a *lifting frame* if in addition every finite projective R-module lifts to a finite projective S-module.

Remarks 2.1.2. In [35] the following *surjectivity condition* is also imposed: The image of φ_1 generates the unit ideal of S. Then the element ϖ is determined by the rest of the data, namely if $1 = \sum a_i\varphi_1(b_i)$ then $\varpi = \sum a_i\varphi(b_i)$. The surjectivity condition is satisfied in many examples, but is not necessary in the theory; cf. §11 of the arxiv version of [35]. It is not present in Zink's notion of frames; see Definition 2.1.10 and Remark 2.1.11 below. If the surjectivity condition holds we will sometimes omit ϖ from the notation and write $\underline{S} = (S, \operatorname{Fil} S, \varphi, \varphi_1)$ in order to be consistent with the literature.

In this paper, we will only consider lifting frames. All frames with R local are lifting frames (as projective R-modules of finite type are free). The condition $\operatorname{Fil} S + pS \subseteq \operatorname{Rad}(S)$ is automatic if S is local and $p \in R$ is a non-unit.

Definition 2.1.3. A *window* $\underline{M} := (M, \operatorname{Fil} M, \Phi, \Phi_1)$ over a frame $\underline{S}$ consists of a projective S-module M of finite type, an S-submodule $\operatorname{Fil} M \subseteq M$ and φ-linear maps $\Phi : M \to M$ and $\Phi_1 : \operatorname{Fil} M \to M$ such that:

(1) There exists a decomposition of S-modules $M = L \oplus N$ with $\operatorname{Fil} M = L \oplus (\operatorname{Fil} S)N$.
(2) If $s \in \operatorname{Fil} S$ and $m \in M$ then $\Phi_1(sm) = \varphi_1(s)\Phi(m)$.
(3) If $m \in \operatorname{Fil} M$ then $\Phi(m) = \varpi\Phi_1(m)$.
(4) $\Phi_1(\operatorname{Fil} M) + \Phi(M)$ generates M as an S-module.

Naturally, a homomorphism of windows is an S-linear map that preserves the filtration and commutes with Φ and with Φ_1. We write $\operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})$ for the category of $\underline{S}$-windows. A short sequence of windows is called exact if the sequences of M's and of $\operatorname{Fil} M$'s are both exact.

Remarks 2.1.4. If $\underline{S}$ satisfies the surjectivity condition of Remarks 2.1.2, then (3) follows from (2), condition (4) means that $\Phi_1(\operatorname{Fil} M)$ generates M, and the map Φ is determined by Φ_1.

When $\underline{S}$ is a lifting frame, then (1) is equivalent to the simultaneous requirement that $(\operatorname{Fil} S)M \subseteq \operatorname{Fil} M$ and that $M/\operatorname{Fil} M$ is projective as an R-module.

A decomposition as in (1) is called a *normal decomposition*. If $(M, \operatorname{Fil} M)$ and a normal decomposition $M = L \oplus N$ are given, the set of pairs (Φ, Φ_1) which define a window $\underline{M}$ is in bijection with the set of φ-linear isomorphisms $\Psi : L \oplus N \to M$ via $\Psi(l + n) := \Phi_1(l) + \Phi(n)$.

Let us write $\varphi^*M := \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\varphi,\mathfrak{S}} M$ for the scalar extension of M along $\varphi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$, and denote by $F : \varphi^*M \to M$ the linearization of Φ determined by $F(s \otimes x) = s\Phi(x)$. There is a unique S-linear map $V : M \to \varphi^*M$ with $V(\Phi_1(m)) = 1 \otimes m$ for $m \in$

$\operatorname{Fil} M$ and $V(\Phi(m)) = \varpi \otimes m$ for $m \in M$; here the last condition is automatic if $\underline{S}$ satisfies the surjectivity condition. The composition of F and V in either order is multiplication by ϖ. See [36, Lemma 2.3].

Definition 2.1.5. A *homomorphism of frames* $\alpha : \underline{S} \to \underline{S}'$ is a homomorphism of rings $\alpha : S \to S'$ that intertwines φ with φ' and carries $\operatorname{Fil} S$ into $\operatorname{Fil} S'$ and which satisfies $\varphi'_1\alpha = c \cdot \alpha\varphi_1$ and $\alpha(\varpi) = c\varpi'$ for a unit $c \in S'$, which is part of the data. If we wish to specify c, we will say that α is a *c-homomorphism*. A *strict* homomorphism of frames is simply a 1-homomorphism.

Remark 2.1.6. If $\underline{S}$ satisfies the surjectivity condition, then c is uniquely determined by the relation $\varphi'_1\alpha = c \cdot \alpha\varphi$, and the relation $\alpha(\varpi) = c\varpi'$ is a consequence.

Let $\alpha : \underline{S} \to \underline{S}'$ be a c-homomorphism of frames. If $\underline{M}$ and $\underline{M}'$ are windows over $\underline{S}$ and $\underline{S}'$, then an *α-homomorphism of windows* $f : \underline{M} \to \underline{M}'$ is a filtration-compatible homomorphism of S-modules $f : M \to M'$ that intertwines Φ with Φ' and satisfies $\Phi'_1 f = c \cdot f\Phi_1$ on $\operatorname{Fil} M$. Thus the morphisms in $\operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})$ are the $\mathrm{id}_{\underline{S}}$-homomorphisms in this sense. There is a *base change functor*

$$\alpha^* : \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S}') \tag{2.1.1}$$

which is characterized by the universal property

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\underline{S}'}(\alpha^*\underline{M}, \underline{M}') = \operatorname{Hom}_\alpha(\underline{M}, \underline{M}') \tag{2.1.2}$$

for $\underline{M} \in \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})$ and $\underline{M}' \in \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S}')$; here we write $\operatorname{Hom}_\alpha(\underline{M}, \underline{M}')$ for the set of α-homomorphisms. Explicitly, if $\underline{M} = (M, \operatorname{Fil} M, \Phi, \Phi_1)$ then the base change $\alpha^*\underline{M} = (M', \operatorname{Fil} M', \Phi', \Phi'_1)$ has $M' = S' \otimes_S M$ with $\operatorname{Fil} M'$ the S'-submodule of M' generated by $(\operatorname{Fil} S')M'$ and the image of $\operatorname{Fil} M$. The maps Φ' and Φ'_1 are uniquely determined by the requirement that $M \to M'$, $m \mapsto 1 \otimes m$ is an α-homomorphism; in particular $\Phi'(s' \otimes m) = \varphi'(s') \otimes \Phi(m)$. See [36, §2.1] or [35, Lemma 2.9]. The frame homomorphism α is called *crystalline* if the base change functor (2.1.1) is an equivalence of categories.

Definition 2.1.7. The *dual* of an $\underline{S}$-window $\underline{M}$ is $\underline{M}^t := (M^t, \operatorname{Fil} M^t, \Phi^t, \Phi_1^t)$ where:

(1) $M^t := \operatorname{Hom}_S(M, S)$ is the S-linear dual of M,
(2) $\operatorname{Fil} M^t := \{f \in M^t \ : \ f(\operatorname{Fil} M) \subseteq \operatorname{Fil} S\}$,
(3) The maps $\Phi^t : M^t \to M^t$ and $\Phi_1^t : \operatorname{Fil} M^t \to M^t$ are determined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1^t(f)(\Phi_1(m)) &= \varphi_1(f(m)) && \text{for } f \in \operatorname{Fil} M^t \text{ and } m \in \operatorname{Fil} M,\\
\Phi_1^t(f)(\Phi(m)) &= \varphi(f(m)) && \text{for } f \in \operatorname{Fil} M^t \text{ and } m \in M,\\
\Phi^t(f)(\Phi_1(m)) &= \varphi(f(m)) && \text{for } f \in M^t \text{ and } m \in \operatorname{Fil} M,\\
\Phi^t(f)(\Phi(m)) &= \varpi\varphi(f(m)) && \text{for } f \in M^t \text{ and } m \in M.
\end{aligned}$$

Remarks 2.1.8. If the surjectivity condition of Remark 2.1.2 holds for $\underline{S}$, then the first of the relations in (3) implies the others.

The existence of the pair of maps (Φ^t, Φ_1^t) requires an argument using normal representations; see [36, §2.1] or [35, §2].

There is a canonical "double duality" isomorphism $\underline{M}^{tt} \simeq \underline{M}$ in $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})$.

If $F : \varphi^* M \to M$ and $V : M \to \varphi^* M$ are the S-linear maps defined in Remark 2.1.4, then the corresponding maps for M^t are the S-linear duals of V and F, respectively.

The formation of duals commutes with base change along strict homomorphisms of frames, and also along a c-homomorphism $\underline{S} \to \underline{S}'$ provided a unit $y \in S'$ with $c = y/\varphi'(y)$ is given: there is always a canonical isomorphism $(\alpha^* \underline{M})^t \cong \alpha^*(\underline{M}^t)_{c^{-1}}$ where the subscript means that Φ and Φ_1 are multiplied by c^{-1}, and multiplication by y then gives an isomorphism $y : \alpha^*(\underline{M}^t)_{c^{-1}} \to \alpha^*(\underline{M}^t)$; see [35, Lemma 2.14].

Example 2.1.9. For every frame $\underline{S}$ we have the windows $\underline{S} = (S, \mathrm{Fil}\, S, \varphi, \varphi_1)$ and its dual $\underline{S}^t = (S, S, \varpi\varphi, \varphi)$.

Let us recall the frames of [48], which we call *PD-frames* here:

Definition 2.1.10. Let R be a p-adically complete ring. A *PD-frame for* R is a surjective ring homomorphism $S \to R$ together with a Frobenius lift $\varphi : S \to S$ where S is p-adically complete without p-torsion such that the kernel of $S \to R$ is a PD-ideal.

Remark 2.1.11. A PD-frame for R satisfies $\varphi(\mathrm{Fil}\, S) \subseteq pS$ and therefore extends uniquely to a frame $\underline{S} = (S, \mathrm{Fil}\, S, R, \varphi, \varphi_1, p)$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.1 with $\varphi_1 := p^{-1}\varphi$. Moreover $\underline{S}$ is a lifting frame because the kernel of $S/pS \to R/pR$ is a nilideal due to the divided powers. We will also call the collection $\underline{S}$ a PD-frame.

Our second main example of frames is the following:

Example 2.1.12. Assume that $\mathfrak{S}$ is a ring with a fixed non-zero divisor $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $p, E \in \mathrm{Rad}(\mathfrak{S})$, and $\varphi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ is an endomorphism that induces the p-power map on $\mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S}$. We can form the frame

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}} = (\mathfrak{S}, \mathrm{Fil}\, \mathfrak{S}, R, \varphi, \varphi_1')$$

with $\mathrm{Fil}\, \mathfrak{S} = E\mathfrak{S}$ and $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ and $\varphi_1'(Ex) = \varphi(x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{S}$. The element ϖ with $\varphi = \varpi\varphi_1'$ on $\mathrm{Fil}\, \mathfrak{S}$ is uniquely determined by $\varpi = \varphi(E)$ and is omitted from the notation; cf. Remark 2.1.2.

Definition 2.1.13. A Barsotti-Tate (BT) module over $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a pair $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi)$ where $\mathfrak{M}$ is a finite projective $\mathfrak{S}$-module and $\varphi : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ is a φ-linear map such that the cokernel of the associated linear map $1 \otimes \varphi : \varphi^* \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ is annihilated by E and projective as an R-module. The category of BT modules over $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$ is denoted by $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})$.

Remark 2.1.14. If R and $\mathfrak{S}$ are regular rings, the projectivity of $M := \mathrm{coker}(1 \otimes \varphi)$ over R is automatic: Indeed, assume that $M \neq 0$. Since $\mathrm{depth}_R(M) = \mathrm{depth}_{\mathfrak{S}}(M)$ and $\mathrm{depth}(R) = \dim(R) = \dim(\mathfrak{S}) - 1 = \mathrm{depth}(\mathfrak{S}) - 1$, the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula gives $\mathrm{pd}_R(M) = \mathrm{pd}_{\mathfrak{S}}(M) - 1$. As $1 \otimes \varphi$ becomes an isomorphism after inverting E, it is injective, and it follows that $\varphi^* \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ is a projective resolution of $\mathrm{coker}(1 \otimes \varphi)$. Thus $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathfrak{S}}(M) = 1$ and $\mathrm{pd}_R(M) = 0$.

Remark 2.1.15. One verifies that the category $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})$ can be equipped with the following duality operation: The dual of $(\mathfrak{M},\varphi)$ is $(\mathfrak{M}^t,\varphi^t)$ where $\mathfrak{M}^t := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}}(\mathfrak{M},\mathfrak{S})$ and φ^t is determined by $\varphi^t(f)(\varphi(m)) = E\varphi(f(m))$ for $f \in \mathfrak{M}^t$ and $m \in \mathfrak{M}$.

Lemma 2.1.16. *If all finite projective R-modules lift to finite projective $\mathfrak{S}$-modules, there is an equivalence of categories* $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}) \to \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})$ *given by*

$$\underline{M} = (M, \mathrm{Fil}\, M, \Phi, \Phi_1) \mapsto (\mathrm{Fil}\, M, E\Phi_1).$$

The equivalence preserves exactness and duality.

In many examples the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1.16 is satisfied because $\mathfrak{S}$ is local or E-adically complete.

Proof. This is standard. Let $\underline{M}$ be an $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$-window. Then the $\mathfrak{S}$-module $\mathfrak{M} := \mathrm{Fil}\, M$ is projective, and the linearization of $\Phi_1 : \mathfrak{M} \to M$ is an isomorphism $\varphi^*\mathfrak{M} \cong M$. The inclusion $\mathfrak{M} \to M$ composed with the inverse of this isomorphism defines a linear map $\psi : \mathfrak{M} \to \varphi^*\mathfrak{M}$, and there is a unique linear map $\widetilde{\varphi} : \varphi^*\mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ with $\widetilde{\varphi}\psi = E \cdot \mathrm{id}$. The cokernel of $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Fil}\, M/EM$, which is projective over R. Let $\varphi(x) = \widetilde{\varphi}(1 \otimes x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then $(\mathfrak{M},\varphi) \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})$, and one verifies that $\varphi = E\Phi_1$.

Conversely, for $(\mathfrak{M},\varphi) \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}})$ there is a unique linear map $\psi : \mathfrak{M} \to \varphi^*\mathfrak{M}$ with $\widetilde{\varphi}\psi = E \cdot \mathrm{id}$, and we get a window $\underline{M} = (M, \mathrm{Fil}\, M, \Phi, \Phi_1)$ by setting $M := \varphi^*\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathrm{Fil}\, M := \psi(\mathfrak{M})$ and $\Phi_1(\psi(x)) := 1 \otimes x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $\Phi(x) := 1 \otimes \varphi(x)$ for $x \in M$. □

Example 2.1.17. The window $\underline{\mathfrak{S}} = (\mathfrak{S}, E\mathfrak{S}, \varphi, \varphi_1')$ corresponds to the BT module $(\mathfrak{S},\varphi)$, and its dual $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^t = (\mathfrak{S},\mathfrak{S},\varphi(E)\varphi,\varphi)$ corresponds to $(\mathfrak{S}, E\varphi)$.

2.2. **Lifting windows modulo powers of p.** As a preparation for Proposition 2.3.2 below, we consider the following situation. Let

$$\underline{S}' = (S', \mathrm{Fil}\, S', R', \varphi', \varphi_1', \varpi') \xrightarrow{\lambda} \underline{S} = (S, \mathrm{Fil}\, S, R, \varphi, \varphi_1, \varpi)$$

be a frame homomorphism such that the rings S', R', S, R are p-adically complete and $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat. For each $n \geq 1$ we obtain frames $\underline{S}_n = \underline{S} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$ and $\underline{S}'_n = \underline{S}' \otimes \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}$, the tensor product taken componentwise, and a frame homomorphism $\lambda_n : \underline{S}'_n \to \underline{S}_n$. Recall that a frame homomorphism is called crystalline if it induces an equivalence of the associated window categories.

Proposition 2.2.1. *If λ_1 is crystalline, then all λ_n and λ are crystalline.*

Proof. For a fixed $\underline{S}_n$-window $\underline{M}_n = (M, \mathrm{Fil}\, M, \Phi, \Phi_1)$ we write $\mathscr{L}(\underline{M}_n)$ the category of lifts of $\underline{M}_n$ to an $\underline{S}_{n+1}$-window $\widetilde{\underline{M}}_n = (\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Fil}\, \widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{\Phi}_1)$; the morphisms in this category are isomorphisms that induce the identity of $\underline{M}_n$. Let $\overline{\underline{M}} = \underline{M} \otimes \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} = (\overline{M}, \mathrm{Fil}\, \overline{M}, \overline{\Phi}, \overline{\Phi}_1)$. We claim that there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathscr{L}(\underline{M}_n) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\underline{S}_1}(\overline{\underline{M}}, \overline{\underline{M}}), \tag{2.2.1}$$

depending on the choice of a base point in $\mathscr{L}(\underline{M}_n)$. All lifts to $\underline{S}_{n+1}$ of the pair $(M, \mathrm{Fil}\, M)$ are isomorphic, and we choose one of them $(\widetilde{M}, \mathrm{Fil}\, \widetilde{M})$. Let D^1 be the set of pairs (G, G_1) of φ-linear maps $G : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}$ and $G_1 : \mathrm{Fil}\, \overline{M} \to \overline{M}$ that satisfy

the relations $G_1(ax) = \varphi_1(a)G(x)$ for $a \in \operatorname{Fil} S_1$ and $x \in \overline{M}$ and $G(x) = \varpi G_1(x)$ for $x \in \operatorname{Fil}\overline{M}$. The set of pairs $(\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{\Phi}_1)$ which complete the lift $\underline{\widetilde{M}}$ is a principally homogeneous set under the abelian group D^1, where (G, G_1) acts on $(\widetilde{\Phi}, \widetilde{\Phi}_1)$ by adding $(p^n G, p^n G_1)$. The group of automorphisms of the chosen lift $(\widetilde{M}, \operatorname{Fil}\widetilde{M})$ is isomorphic to $D^0 := \operatorname{End}(\overline{M}, \operatorname{Fil}\overline{M})$ by sending $\alpha \in D_0$ to the automorphism $1+p^n\alpha$, and the action of these automorphisms on the pairs $(\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{F}_1)$ is given by

$$d : D^0 \to D^1, \qquad d(\alpha) = (\overline{\Phi}\alpha - \alpha\overline{\Phi}, \overline{\Phi}_1\alpha - \alpha\overline{\Phi}_1).$$

It follows that the category $\mathscr{L}(\underline{M}_n)$ is equivalent to the quotient groupoid $[D_1/D_0]$. Similary, for an extension of $\underline{S}_1$-windows $0 \to \underline{\overline{M}} \to \underline{N} \to \underline{\overline{M}} \to 0$, the underlying pair of modules $(N, \operatorname{Fil} N)$ is isomorphic to $(\overline{M} \oplus \overline{M}, \operatorname{Fil}\overline{M} \oplus \operatorname{Fil}\overline{M})$. The operators Φ_N and $\Phi_{1,N}$ of $\underline{N}$ are then given by block matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \overline{\Phi} & G \\ 0 & \overline{\Phi} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \overline{\Phi}_1 & G_1 \\ 0 & \overline{\Phi}_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for some $(G, G_1) \in D_1$, the automorphism group of $(N, \operatorname{Fil} N)$ is isomorphic to D^0 by sending $\alpha \in D_0$ to the automorphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and the action of automorphisms on pairs $(\Phi_N, \Phi_{1,N})$ is given by d as above. The equivalence (2.2.1) follows. For an $\underline{S}'_n$-window $\underline{M}'_n$ we get a similar equivalence

$$\mathscr{L}(\underline{M}'_n) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^1_{\underline{S}'_1}(\underline{\overline{M}}', \underline{\overline{M}}').$$

We apply this for $\underline{M}_n = \lambda_n(\underline{M}'_n)$ and deduce that since λ_1 is assumed to be crystalline, the functor

$$\mathscr{L}(\underline{M}'_n) \to \mathscr{L}(\underline{M}_n) \tag{2.2.2}$$

induced by λ_{n+1} is an equivalence of categories.

Now to prove the proposition it suffices to show that if λ_n is crystalline, then so is λ_{n+1}. Assume that the functor λ_n^* is an equivalence.

a) The functor λ_{n+1}^* is faithful: Let $\underline{M}'_{n+1}$ and $\underline{N}'_{n+1}$ be two $\underline{S}'_{n+1}$-windows, let $\underline{M}'_i$ and $\underline{N}'_i$ be their reductions modulo p^i, and let $\underline{M}_i$ and $\underline{N}_i$ be the images under λ_i^*. Assume that $\alpha : \underline{M}'_{n+1} \to \underline{N}'_{n+1}$ is a homomorphism with $\lambda_{n+1}^*(\alpha_{n+1}) = 0$. Since the functor λ_n^* is faithful we have $\alpha = p^n\beta$ for a homomorphism $\beta : \underline{M}'_1 \to \underline{N}'_1$. Since the functor λ_1^* is faithful we have $\beta = 0$, thus $\alpha = 0$.

b) The functor λ_{n+1}^* is full: Since a homomorphism $\alpha : M \to N$ can be encoded by the automorphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ of $M \oplus N$, it suffices to show that λ_{n+1}^* is full on isomorphisms. We use the notation of a). Let $\alpha_{n+1} : \underline{M}_{n+1} \to \underline{N}_{n+1}$ be a given isomorphism, and let $\widetilde{\alpha}_n : \underline{M}'_n \to \underline{N}'_n$ be the unique isomorphism that lifts the reduction $\alpha_n : \underline{M}_n \to \underline{N}_n$. Since $\widetilde{\alpha}_n$ can be lifted to an isomorphism of pairs $(M'_{n+1}, \operatorname{Fil} M'_{n+1}) \cong (N'_{n+1}, \operatorname{Fil} N'_{n+1})$, we can assume that $\underline{M}'_n = \underline{N}'_n$ and that $\alpha_n = \mathrm{id}$. Then the equivalence (2.2.2) implies that α comes from an isomorphism $\underline{M}'_{n+1} \cong \underline{N}'_{n+1}$.

c) The functor λ_{n+1}^* is surjective on isomorphism classes: This is clear by the equivalence (2.2.2). □

2.3. **Descent of windows from S to $\mathfrak{S}$.** Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a ring with a fixed element $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that (p, E) is a regular sequence in $\mathfrak{S}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{S}$ is complete for the (p, E)-adic topology, or equivalently that $\mathfrak{S}$ is p-adically complete and $\mathfrak{S}_1 = \mathfrak{S}/p\mathfrak{S}$

is E-adically complete. Then $\mathfrak{S}$ is also E-adically complete without E-torsion, and $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ is p-adically complete and $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat. Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be a lift of Frobenius. As in Example 2.1.12 we form the frame $\underline{\mathfrak{S}} = (\mathfrak{S}, \operatorname{Fil}\mathfrak{S}, R, \varphi, \varphi_1')$.

Let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $E\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$, let $\operatorname{Fil} S$ be the kernel of $S \to R$, and let $\varphi : S \to S$ be the natural extension of φ. The ring S is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat (see Lemma 2.6.1 below) and is a PD-frame for R in the sense of Definition 2.1.10. Let $\underline{S} = (S, \operatorname{Fil} S, R, \varphi, \varphi_1, p)$ be the corresponding frame, i.e. $\varphi_1(a) = p^{-1}\varphi(a)$ for $a \in \operatorname{Fil} S$. With Remarks 2.1.2 and 2.1.6 in mind, we assume:

Hypothesis 2.3.1. The element $c := \varphi_1(E)$ is a unit of S.

This guarantees that the natural homomorphism of rings $\mathfrak{S} \to S$ extends to a c-homomorphism of frames $\lambda : \underline{\mathfrak{S}} \to \underline{S}$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.5. Let $\lambda_n : \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_n \to \underline{S}_n$ be its reduction mod p^n as in §2.2.

Proposition 2.3.2. *If $p \geq 3$ then the frame homomorphisms $\lambda : \underline{\mathfrak{S}} \to \underline{S}$ and $\lambda_n : \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_n \to \underline{S}_n$ for $n \geq 1$ are all crystalline.*

This generalises known results. When $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[u]]$ for a perfect field k of characteristic p and when E is an Eisenstein polynomial so that R is the ring of integers of a p-adic local field, the equivalence between $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$-windows and $\underline{S}$-windows follows from [32, 2.2.7, A.6] when $\varphi(u) = u^p$ and, more directly, from [16, 2.2.1] for general φ. Using the method of [16], this equivalence is extended in [31, Prop. 6.3] to the case $\mathfrak{S} = R_0[[u]]$ for a p-adically complete ring R_0 where $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ is a generalisation of an Eisenstein polynomial.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2.1 it suffices to show that the frame homomorphism $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_1 \to \underline{S}_1$ is crystalline. We prove this using a variant of [9, Pr. 2.2.2.1] and [10, Th. 4.1.1].

Let $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathfrak{S}/(p, E^p) = \mathfrak{S}_1/(E^p)$. For $x \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ we have

$$\varphi_1'(xE^p) = \varphi(x)\varphi(E)^{p-1} = x^p E^{p(p-1)}$$

in $\mathfrak{S}_1$. Thus φ_1' preserves the ideal $J = E^p\mathfrak{S}_1$, and (since $p \geq 3$) the restriction $\varphi_1' : J \to J$ is topologically nilpotent. It follows that (also for $p = 2$) we have a frame

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_0 = (\mathfrak{S}_0, \operatorname{Fil}\mathfrak{S}_0, R_1, \varphi, \varphi_1')$$

such that the projection $\pi : \mathfrak{S}_1 \to \mathfrak{S}_0$ is a strict frame homomorphism $\pi : \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_1 \to \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_0$, and for $p \geq 3$ this frame homomorphism is crystalline by the general deformation result [35, Theorem 3.2].

We can endow the ideal $\operatorname{Fil}\mathfrak{S}_0 = E\mathfrak{S}_1/E^p\mathfrak{S}_1$ with the trivial divided powers γ determined by $\gamma_p(E) = 0$. The universal property of S_1 gives an extension of π to a divided power homomorphism $\pi' : S_1 \to \mathfrak{S}_0$, which maps $\operatorname{Fil}^p S_1$ to zero. Now the identity of $\mathfrak{S}_0$ factors into

$$\mathfrak{S}_0 = \mathfrak{S}_1/E^p\mathfrak{S}_1 \to S_1/\operatorname{Fil}^p S_1 \to \mathfrak{S}_0.$$

Here the first arrow is easily seen to be surjective, thus both arrows are bijective. In S we have the relation

$$\varphi_1(E^n/n!) = c^n p^{n-1}/n! = \text{unit} \cdot p^{n-1-v_p(n!)},$$

and $v_p(n!) \leq (n-1)/(p-1)$. As $p \geq 3$, the exponent $n - 1 - v_p(n!)$ is positive for $n \geq 2$. Thus $\varphi_1 : \mathrm{Fil}^p S_1 \to S_1$ is zero, and the map $\varphi_1 : \mathrm{Fil}\, S_1 \to S_1$ factors over $\mathrm{Fil}\, \mathfrak{S}_0 \to S_1$ and in particular induces $\overline{\varphi}_1 : \mathrm{Fil}\, \mathfrak{S}_0 \to \mathfrak{S}_0$. Using that λ is a c-homomorphism of frames, we find that $\overline{\varphi}_1 = c\varphi_1'$. Thus π' is a c^{-1}-homomorphism of frames $\pi' : \underline{S}_1 \to \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_0$, which is crystalline by [35, Theorem 3.2] again. □

2.4. **Dieudonné crystals and the Hodge filtration.** Let T be a scheme on which p is nilpotent. We denote by $\mathrm{D}(T)$ the category of Dieudonné crystals over T. Let us recall the definition:

Set $\Sigma := \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbf{Z}_p$, endowed with the structure of a PD-scheme via the canonical divided powers on the ideal $p\mathbf{Z}_p$, and let $\mathrm{Cris}(T/\Sigma)$ be the big fppf crystalline site as in [5]. If $\mathscr{F}$ is a sheaf on $\mathrm{Cris}(T/\Sigma)$ and $U \to Z$ is any object of $\mathrm{Cris}(T/\Sigma)$, we write $\mathscr{F}_{Z/U}$ for the corresponding fppf sheaf on Z. In the case $U = Z$ we also write $\mathscr{F}_{Z/U} = \mathscr{F}_U$. By definition, an object of $\mathrm{D}(T)$ is a triple $(\mathscr{D}, F, V)$ where $\mathscr{D}$ is a crystal of finite locally free $\mathscr{O}_{T/\Sigma}$-modules on $\mathrm{Cris}(T/\Sigma)$ and where $F : \varphi^*\mathscr{D}_0 \to \mathscr{D}_0$ and $V : \mathscr{D}_0 \to \varphi^*\mathscr{D}_0$ are homomorphisms of crystals satisfying $FV = p$ and $VF = p$; here $\mathscr{D}_0$ denotes the pullback of $\mathscr{D}$ to $T_0 = T \times \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbf{F}_p$, and φ is the Frobenius endomorphism of T_0. The *dual* of $(\mathscr{D}, F, V)$ is $(\mathscr{D}^t, V^t, F^t)$ for $\mathscr{D}^t := \mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_{T/\Sigma}}(\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{O}_{T/\Sigma})$ with V^t and F^t the maps induced by precomposition with V and F on $\mathscr{D}_0$, respectively.

Let $\mathrm{pdiv}(T)$ denote the category of p-divisible groups over T. We have a contravariant functor

$$\underline{\mathbf{D}} : \mathrm{pdiv}(T) \to \mathrm{D}(T), \qquad \underline{\mathbf{D}}(G) = (\mathbf{D}(G), F, V) \tag{2.4.1}$$

where $\mathbf{D}(G)$ is the crystalline Dieudonné module of G defined in [40] or [5], and where F and V are induced by the Frobenius and Verschiebung homomorphism of G_{T_0}. The functor $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ is exact by [5, Pr. 4.3.1 and Th. 3.3.3] and compatible with duality by [5, Sec. 5.3].

We want to take into account the Hodge filtration of a p-divisible group. Let $(\mathscr{D}, F, V)$ be a Dieudonné crystal over T. For each object $(U \to Z)$ of $\mathrm{Cris}(T_0/\Sigma_0)$ we have an exact sequence of locally free $\mathscr{O}_Z$-modules

$$\mathscr{D}_{Z/U} \xrightarrow{V_{Z/U}} \varphi^*(\mathscr{D}_{Z/U}) \xrightarrow{F_{Z/U}} \mathscr{D}_{Z/U} \xrightarrow{V_{Z/U}} \varphi^*(\mathscr{D}_{Z/U}) \tag{2.4.2}$$

where φ denotes the Frobenius endomorphism of Z, and $\mathrm{im}(V_{Z/U})$ and $\mathrm{im}(F_{Z/U})$ are locally free as well. Indeed, (2.4.2) is a complex of locally free modules whose base change to perfect fields is exact, which implies the assertion. Moreover, the Frobenius endomorphism $\varphi : Z \to Z$ factors into $\overline{\varphi} : Z \to U$.

Definition 2.4.1. We denote by $\mathrm{DF}(T)$ the category of *filtered Dieudonné crystals* over T whose objects are quadruples $(\mathscr{D}, F, V, \mathrm{Fil}\, \mathscr{D}_T)$ where $(\mathscr{D}, F, V)$ is a Dieudonné crystal over T and where $\mathrm{Fil}\, \mathscr{D}_T \subseteq \mathscr{D}_T$ is an $\mathscr{O}_T$-submodule which is locally a direct summand, such that for each object $(U \to Z)$ of $\mathrm{Cris}(T_0/\Sigma_0)$, we have

$$\overline{\varphi}^*((\mathrm{Fil}\, \mathscr{D}_T)_U) = \mathrm{im}(V_{Z/U}) \tag{2.4.3}$$

inside the locally free $\mathscr{O}_Z$-module $\overline{\varphi}^*\mathscr{D}_U = \varphi^*\mathscr{D}_{Z/U}$. A short sequence of filtered Dieudonné crystals is called exact if the underlying sequences of $\mathscr{O}_{T/\Sigma}$-modules $\mathscr{D}$

and of $\mathscr{O}_T$-modules $\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_T$ are exact. The *dual* of $(\mathscr{D}, F, V, \operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_T)$ is defined as $(\mathscr{D}^t, V^t, F^t, (\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_T)^\perp)$, where $(\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_T)^\perp$ is the kernel of the canonical map

$$\mathscr{D}_T^t = \mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_T}(\mathscr{D}_T, \mathscr{O}_T) \to \mathscr{H}om_{\mathscr{O}_T}(\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_T, \mathscr{O}_T).$$

Remark 2.4.2. The locally direct summands of $\mathscr{D}_T$ that satisfy (2.4.3) are the filtrations considered in [29, p. 113] as part of a so-called *admissible* F-V crystal.

For a p-divisible group G over T we have a natural exact sequence of locally free $\mathscr{O}_T$-modules, called the Hodge filtration of G,

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_G \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(G)_T \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}ie(G^\vee) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 2.4.3. *The functor* $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ *of* (2.4.1) *extends to a contravariant functor*

$$\underline{\mathbf{D}} : \operatorname{pdiv}(T) \to \operatorname{DF}(T), \qquad \underline{\mathbf{D}}(G) = (\mathbf{D}(G), F, V, \operatorname{Fil}\mathbf{D}(G)_T)$$

where $\operatorname{Fil}\mathbf{D}(G)_T$ *is the image of* ω_G*. This functor is again exact and compatible with duality.*

Proof. We have to verify that (2.4.3) holds with this definition of $\operatorname{Fil}\mathbf{D}(G)_T$. By [5, Pr. 4.3.10] the assertion holds when $U = Z$. Since G_U can locally in U be lifted to a p-divisible group over Z, the assertion follows in general. The extended functor $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ is exact because the functor $G \mapsto \mathscr{L}ie(G)$ is exact; cf. [41, Th. 3.3.13]. The extended functor $\underline{\mathbf{D}}$ is compatible with duality by [5, Pr. 5.3.6]. □

2.4.4. If T is a formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf}\mathbf{Z}_p$ with ideal of definition $\mathscr{I} \subseteq \mathscr{O}_T$, we define a (filtered) Dieudonné crystal over T to be a compatible system of such objects over the schemes $T_n = V(\mathscr{I}^n)$ for $n \geq 1$. The preceding discussion extends to this case.

2.5. **Dieudonné crystals and windows.** Let $\underline{S} = (S, \operatorname{Fil}S, R, \varphi, \varphi_1, p)$ be a PD-frame for a p-adically complete ring R in the sense of Remark 2.1.11. If a Dieudonné crystal $(\mathscr{D}, F, V)$ over $\operatorname{Spf}R$ together with a locally direct summand $\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_R \subseteq \mathscr{D}_R$ is given, one can try to define a window $\underline{M}$ over $\underline{S}$ as follows. Set $M = \mathscr{D}_S$, let $\operatorname{Fil}M \subseteq M$ be the inverse image of $\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_R \subseteq \mathscr{D}_R = M/(\operatorname{Fil}S)M$, and let $\Phi : M \to M$ be the φ-linear map induced by F.

Lemma 2.5.1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *We have* $\Phi(\operatorname{Fil}M) \subseteq pM$*, and* $\Phi(M) + p^{-1}\Phi(\operatorname{Fil}M)$ *generates* M *over* S*.*
(2) *The equality* (2.4.3) *holds for* $U = \operatorname{Spec}R/pR$ *and* $Z = \operatorname{Spec}S/pS$*.*

Condition (1) means that $\underline{M} = (M, \operatorname{Fil}M, \Phi, \Phi_1 = p^{-1}\Phi)$ is a well-defined $\underline{S}$-window. Thus we get:

Proposition 2.5.2. *There is an exact functor*

$$\operatorname{DF}(\operatorname{Spf}R) \to \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S}), \qquad \underline{\mathscr{D}} \mapsto \underline{M}$$

that is compatible with duality. □

Proof of Lemma 2.5.1. We may assume that p is nilpotent in R. Since the Frobenius endomorphism of S/pS induces a homomorphism $\overline{\varphi} : R/pR \to S/pS$, the φ-linear map $\Phi : M \to M$ induces a $\overline{\varphi}$-linear map

$$\overline{\Phi} : R/pR \otimes_S M \to M/pM.$$

The condition $\Phi(\operatorname{Fil} M) \subseteq pM$ is equivalent to $\overline{\Phi}(\operatorname{Fil} \mathscr{D}_{R/pR}) = 0$, which translates into

$$\overline{\varphi}^*(\operatorname{Fil} \mathscr{D}_{R/pR}) \subseteq \operatorname{im}(V_{Z/U}) \tag{2.5.1}$$

inside $\overline{\varphi}^* \mathscr{D}_U = \varphi^* \mathscr{D}_{Z/U}$ for $U = \operatorname{Spec} R/pR$ and $Z = \operatorname{Spec} S/pS$; this is one inclusion of the equality (2.4.3). Assume that (2.5.1) holds so that we can define $\Phi_1 := p^{-1}\Phi : \operatorname{Fil} M \to M$. It remains to show that (2.5.1) is an equality if and only if $\Phi(M) + \Phi_1(\operatorname{Fil} M)$ generates M over S. This is easily verified if $R = k$ is a perfect field and $S = W(k)$. The general case is reduced to this case as follows.

We choose a normal decomposition $M = L \oplus N$ such that $\operatorname{Fil} M = L \oplus (\operatorname{Fil} S)N$. The module M is generated by $\Phi(M) + \Phi_1(M)$ if and only if the φ-linear map of S-modules $\Psi : L \oplus N \to M$ defined by Φ_1 on L and by Φ on N is a φ-linear isomorphism. Every homomorphism $R \to k$ with a perfect field k extends uniquely to a homomorphism of frames $\underline{S} \to \underline{W}(k)$, so this condition can be checked over perfect fields. Similarly, since both sides of (2.5.1) are direct summands of M/pM, we have equality if and only if equality holds after every base change to a perfect field. □

2.6. **Dieudonné crystals and windows with connection.** Assume that $A \to R = A/J$ is a surjective homomorphism of p-adically complete rings where A is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat. Let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of J in A with respect to Σ; see §2.4. Then S depends only on the ideal $J + pA$. Let $\operatorname{Fil} S$ be the kernel of the natural homomorphism $S \to R$.

Lemma 2.6.1. *If the kernel of $A/pA \to R/pR$ is generated by a regular sequence locally in* $\operatorname{Spec} A/pA$*, then the ring S is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat.*

This should be standard, but we include a proof for completeness.

Proof. We may assume that $J_0 = \ker(A/pA \to R/pR)$ is generated by a regular sequence $\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_r$. Let $t_i \in A$ be an inverse image of $\bar{t}_i$, and let $J' = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ as an ideal of A. Then $t_1, \dots, t_r$ is a regular sequence, and $R' = A/J'$ is a $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat p-adically complete ring. Without changing S we can assume that $J = J'$ and $R = R'$.

Let $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[T_1, \dots, T_r]$. We consider the ring homomorphisms $\Lambda \to A$ defined by $T_i \mapsto t_i$ and $\Lambda \to \mathbf{Z}_p$ defined by $T_i \mapsto 0$. Then $\mathbf{Z}_p \otimes_\Lambda A = R$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_i^\Lambda(\mathbf{Z}_p, A) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Since R has no p-torsion it follows that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^\Lambda(\mathbf{F}_p, A) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Let $\Lambda' = \mathbf{Z}_p \langle T_1, \dots, T_r \rangle$ be the divided power polynomial algebra and let A' be the divided power envelope of $J \subseteq A$ with respect to Σ. By the proof of [7, Pr. 3.4.4] we have $A' = \Lambda' \otimes_\Lambda A$. Now Λ' is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat, and $\Lambda'/p\Lambda'$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\mathbf{F}_p[T_1, \dots, T_r]/(T_1^p, \dots, T_r^p)$ as a Λ-module. Since the last module is a finite successive extension of copies of $\mathbf{F}_p$, it follows that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\Lambda'/p\Lambda', A) = 0$. Therefore A' is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat, and thus S is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat. □

From now on we assume that S is $\mathbf{Z}_p$-flat. Let $\varphi : A \to A$ be a Frobenius lift. It extends naturally to an endomorphism $\varphi : S \to S$ that induces the Frobenius on

S/pS and makes S into a PD-frame for R in the sense of Definition 2.1.10. Let

$$\underline{S} = (S, \operatorname{Fil} S, \varphi, \varphi_1, p).$$

be the associated frame as in Remark 2.1.11, i.e. $\varphi_1 = p^{-1}\varphi$ on $\operatorname{Fil} S$.

Assume that $A_0 = A/pA$ has a finite p-basis (x_i). Then $\widehat{\Omega}_A$ is a free A-module with basis (dx_i). The universal derivation $d : A \to \widehat{\Omega}_A$ extends to a continuous connection

$$\nabla_S : S \to S \otimes_A \widehat{\Omega}_A \quad \text{satisfying} \quad \nabla_S(j^{[n]}) = j^{[n-1]} \otimes dj \tag{2.6.1}$$

for any $j \in J$; see Remarks 2.2.4 (d) and 2.2.1.3 of [21].

Definition 2.6.2. Let $\operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla}$ be the category of pairs $(\underline{M}, \nabla)$ where $\underline{M}$ is an $\underline{S}$-window and where $\nabla : M \to M \otimes_A \widehat{\Omega}_A$ is a connection over ∇_S for which Φ is horizontal, i.e. $\nabla \circ \Phi = (\Phi \otimes d\varphi) \circ \nabla$. Morphisms in $\operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla}$ are homomorphisms of $\underline{S}$-windows that are compatible with the connections.

This definition is justified by the following lemma; cf. [31, Def. 3.2.3].

Lemma 2.6.3. *For $(\underline{M}, \nabla) \in \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla}$, the connection ∇ is integrable and topologically quasi-nilpotent.*

Proof. The φ-linear map $d\varphi : \widehat{\Omega}_A \to \widehat{\Omega}_A$ is divisible by p since $\varphi(x) \equiv x^p \bmod pA$ for $x \in A$. The obvious homomorphism $\nabla^2 : M \to M \otimes_A \bigwedge^2 \widehat{\Omega}_A$ is S-linear and satisfies $\nabla^2 \circ \Phi = (\Phi \otimes \bigwedge^2(d\varphi)) \circ \nabla^2$. Since p is not a zero divisor in S, it follows that $\nabla^2 \circ \Phi_1 = p(\Phi \otimes \bigwedge^2(p^{-1}d\varphi)) \circ \nabla^2$ on $\operatorname{Fil} M$. Since the image of Φ_1 generates M as an S-module, we deduce that if ∇^2 is divisible by p^n for some $n \geq 0$, then ∇^2 is divisible by p^{n+1}. Thus $\nabla^2 = 0$, i.e. ∇ is integrable.

The proof that ∇ is topologically quasi-nilpotent follows [21, 2.4.8]. Writing $j : M \to \varphi^* M$ for the φ-linear map $j(x) := 1 \otimes x$, there is a well-defined connection $\varphi^*\nabla : \varphi^* M \to \varphi^* M \otimes_A \widehat{\Omega}_A$ over ∇_S such that $\varphi^*\nabla(j(x)) = (j \otimes d\varphi)(\nabla(x))$, and the homomorphisms $F : \varphi^* M \to M$ and $V : M \to \varphi^* M$ are horizontal with respect to ∇ and $\varphi^*\nabla$. Let $\vartheta : \widehat{\Omega}_A \to S$ be an A-linear map such that the associated derivation of S/pS is nilpotent, and consider the associated differential operators $D = \vartheta \circ \nabla : M \to M$ and $D' = \vartheta \circ (\varphi^*\nabla) : \varphi^* M \to \varphi^* M$. Since $d\varphi$ is divisible by p we have $D'(1 \otimes x) \in p\varphi^* M$. Thus D' is nilpotent on $\varphi^*(M/pM)$. Using the exact sequence with horizontal maps

$$\varphi^*(M/pM) \xrightarrow{F} M/pM \xrightarrow{V} \varphi^*(M/pM)$$

it follows that D is nilpotent on M/pM, thus ∇ is topologically quasi-nilpotent. □

Proposition 2.6.4. *There is an exact equivalence of categories*

$$\operatorname{DF}(\operatorname{Spf} R) \to \operatorname{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla}$$

that is compatible with duality.

This is similar to [31, Pr. 3.2.5] (but there condition (2.4.3) is left out).

Proof. The functor in the proposition exists and is fully faithful by Proposition 2.5.2 together with the equivalence between crystals in finite locally free $\mathscr{O}_{\operatorname{Spf} R/\Sigma}$-modules over $\operatorname{Cris}(\operatorname{Spf} R/\Sigma)$ and finite projective S-modules with an integrable topologically quasi-nilpotent connection; see [21, Pr. 2.2.2]. It remains to verify that the functor is essentially surjective. Using Lemmas 2.5.1 and 2.6.3, this translates into the following assertion: If $(\mathscr{D}, F, V)$ is a Dieudonné crystal over $\operatorname{Spf} R$ and if $\operatorname{Fil}\mathscr{D}_R \subseteq \mathscr{D}_R$ is a locally direct summand such that condition (2.4.3) holds for $U = \operatorname{Spec} R/pR$ and $Z = \operatorname{Spec} S/pS$, then (2.4.3) holds for all $(U \to Z)$. We may assume that $U = \operatorname{Spec} B$ and $Z = \operatorname{Spec} C$ are affine. We claim that the given morphism $U \to \operatorname{Spec} R/pR \to \operatorname{Spec} A/pA$ extends to Z. Consider the following diagram where α is the given morphism and ϕ is the Frobenius, and the existence of $\widetilde{\alpha}$ has to be shown.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xleftarrow{\beta} & A/pA \\ {\scriptstyle\pi}\downarrow & \nwarrow^{\widetilde{\alpha}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\phi} \\ B & \xleftarrow{\alpha} & A/pA \end{array}$$

For $a \in A/pA$ choose $c \in C$ with $\pi(c) = \alpha(a)$ and put $\beta(a) = c^p$. This does not depend on the choice of c because π is a PD extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$-algebras, which implies that each $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$ satisfies $x^p = 0$. It follows that β is a ring homomorphism such that the outer square commutes. Now, if x_i form a p-basis of A/pA and if $y_i \in C$ are chosen with $\pi(y_i) = \alpha(x_i)$, then there is a unique extension $\widetilde{\alpha}$ of β with $\widetilde{\alpha}(x_i) = y_i$. This proves the claim. From the universal mapping property of divided power envelopes, we then obtain a morphism of PD-thickenings from $(U \to Z)$ to $(\operatorname{Spec} R/pR \to \operatorname{Spec} S/pS)$, and the assertion follows by pull back. □

Remark 2.6.5. The preceding proof shows that for the specific PD-frames considered here, the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.5.1 imply the equality (2.4.3) for all $(U \to Z)$. This does not hold for arbitrary PD-frames.

2.7. **Dieudonné theory over complete regular local rings.** Let R be a complete regular local ring with perfect residue field k of characterstic $p \geq 3$ and with fraction field of characteristic zero. We put $\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[u_1, \ldots, u_d]]$ where $d = \dim(R)$ and choose a homomorphism $\pi : \mathfrak{S} \to R$ such that the elements $\pi(u_i)$ generate the maximal ideal of R. Then it follows from the Cohen structure theorem for complete regular local rings [18, Theorem 15, Corollary 3], that $R = \mathfrak{S}/E\mathfrak{S}$ for a power series $E \in \mathfrak{S}$ with constant term of p-value one; see [35, §7].

As in §2.3 let S be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $E\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathfrak{S}$. Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ be a lift of Frobenius and denote its extension to S by φ again. The element $c = \varphi(E)/p$ is a unit of S; see [36, Le. 6.1]. We obtain a frame homomorphism $\lambda : \underline{\mathfrak{S}} \to \underline{S}$, which is crystalline by Proposition 2.3.2.

Since φ is a lift of Frobenius, its derivative $d\varphi : \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \to \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is divisible by p. Let $(d\varphi)_1 := p^{-1}d\varphi$ as an endomorphism of $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

Proposition 2.7.1. *If $(d\varphi)_1$ is nilpotent on $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$, then the contravariant functor* $\mathrm{pdiv}(R) \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})$ *given by the Dieudonné crystal (Propositions 2.4.3 and 2.5.2) is an equivalence of categories.*

Remarks 2.7.2. The hypothesis of Proposition 2.7.1 holds when $\varphi(u_i) = u_i^p$ because then $(d\varphi)_1$ is zero on $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$. In the case $d = 1$ with $\varphi(u_i) = u_i^p$, the proposition is due to Breuil [11, Th. 4.2.2.9]; alternative proofs are given by Kisin [32, Prop. A6] and by Zink [48, Prop. 3.7]. The general case does not follow from [48, Thm. 6] because the ring S is a $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$-ring in the sense of [48] only when $d = 1$. We emphasize that even in the case $d = 1$, Proposition 2.7.1 provides a more general result than is available in [11], [32], or [48] (which all work with $\varphi(u_1) := u_1^p$) due to the greater flexibility in the choice of Frobenius lift.

Proof of Proposition 2.7.1. The proposition is a consequence of the results of [36], with a possible variation using Proposition 2.3.2. Let $\hat{W}(R) = \mathbb{W}(R)$ be the subring of $W(R)$ defined in [47], and let $\underline{\mathbb{W}}(R) = (\mathbb{W}(R), \mathbb{I}_R, R, \sigma, \sigma_1)$ be the associated frame where σ_1 is the inverse of the Verschiebung; see [36, Sec. 2.3]. This is a PD-frame because $\mathbb{W}(R)$ is p-adically complete with no p-torsion by [36, Prop. 1.14], and the ideal $\mathbb{I}_R$ carries divided powers by [36, Lemma 1.16].

We have natural frame homomorphisms

$$\underline{\mathfrak{S}} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \underline{S} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varkappa}} \underline{\mathbb{W}}(R) \tag{2.7.1}$$

where λ is the inclusion. Namely, there is a natural ring homomorphism $\varkappa : \mathfrak{S} \to W(R)$ compatible with the projections to R such that $\sigma\varkappa = \varkappa\varphi$, and the image of $\varkappa$ lies in the subring $\hat{W}(R)$ if and only if $(d\varphi)_1$ is nilpotent on $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$; see [36, Prop. 6.2] (by a change of variables one can assume that φ preserves the ideal of $\mathfrak{S}$ generated by the u_i, which is assumed in loc.cit.). Since $\underline{\mathbb{W}}(R)$ is a PD-frame, $\varkappa$ extends to a ring homomorphism $\widetilde{\varkappa} : S \to \mathbb{W}(R)$, which is a strict frame homomorphism as in (2.7.1).

Now $\widetilde{\varkappa}$ is crystalline by [36, Thm. 7.2]; alternatively one can use that λ is crystalline by Proposition 2.3.2, and that $\varkappa = \widetilde{\varkappa} \circ \lambda$ is crystalline by [36, Thm. 6.5]. Thus the proposition holds if and only if the composition

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varkappa}^*} \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathbb{W}}(R))$$

is an equivalence. This composition is given by evaluating the crystalline Dieudonné module as in Proposition 2.5.2, and the claim follows from the crystalline version of the equivalence between p-divisible groups and Dieudonné displays in [36, Cor. 5.4]. □

Proposition 2.7.3. *If $(d\varphi)_1$ is nilpotent on $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$, then the forgetful functor* $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla} \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})$ *is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. Let $\underline{M}$ be an $\underline{S}$-window. We have to show that there is a unique connection $\nabla : M \to M \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}$ over ∇_S such that $\nabla \circ \Phi = (\Phi \otimes d\varphi) \circ \nabla$. As earlier we define $F : \varphi^* M \to M$ and $V : M \to \varphi^* M$ by $F(1 \otimes x) = \Phi(x)$ for $x \in M$ and $V(\Phi_1(y)) = 1 \otimes y$ for $y \in \mathrm{Fil}\, M$; see Remarks 2.1.4.

We choose a basis of M and denote by A and B the corresponding matrices of F and V. We may assume that the basis of M lies in the image of Φ_1. Then $B = \varphi(\widetilde{B})$ for another matrix $\widetilde{B}$. Under the identification $M \cong S^n$ we have $\nabla(x) = \nabla_S(x) + C \cdot x$ for $x \in S^n$, where C is a matrix with coefficients in $S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$. The condition on ∇ translates into the equation

$$\nabla_S(A) + CA = A \cdot d\varphi(C)$$

(here $d\varphi$ is short for $\varphi \otimes d\varphi$), or equivalently (after right multiplying by B)

$$\nabla_S(A) \cdot B + pC = pA \cdot (d\varphi)_1(C) \cdot B.$$

Now $AB = A\varphi(\widetilde{B}) = pI_n$ has zero derivative, thus

$$\nabla_S(A) \cdot B = -pA \cdot (d\varphi)_1(\nabla_S(\widetilde{B})),$$

and the condition becomes (after dividing by p)

$$C - \mathcal{U}(C) = D \tag{2.7.2}$$

with $\mathcal{U}(C) = A \cdot (d\varphi)_1(C) \cdot B$ and $D = A \cdot (d\varphi)_1(\nabla_S(\widetilde{B}))$. Here $\mathcal{U}$ is a φ-linear endomorphism of the free S-module of $n \times n$ matrices over $S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$, and our goal is to solve the equation $(1 - \mathcal{U})(C) = D$ for C. Set $y^{(n)} := \varphi^n(y)$. From the definition of $\mathcal{U}$, we compute that the n-th iterate of $C \mapsto \mathcal{U}(C)$ is given by

$$C \mapsto AA^{(1)} \cdots A^{(n-1)} (d\varphi)_1^n(C) (B^{(n-1)} \cdots B^{(1)} B).$$

Now we are facing the difficulty that the inclusion map $\mathfrak{S} \to S$ is continuous with respect to the $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{S}}$-adic topology on $\mathfrak{S}$ and the p-adic topology on S only when $d = 1$. In that case, the hypothesis on $(d\varphi)_1$ implies that for large n, the semilinear endomorphism $(d\varphi)_1^n$ of $S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is divisible by p. It follows that $1 - \mathcal{U}$ is invertible, so there is a unique solution C to (2.7.2) as desired.

For $d \geq 2$ we can argue as follows. Let $T = \mathfrak{S}[[E^p/p]] \subseteq S$. By Proposition 2.3.2 we may assume that $\underline{M}$ comes from an $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}$-window $\underline{M}'$. If the basis of M is chosen in $p^{-1}\Phi(M')$, then A and B have coefficients in T. Moreover, since $\varphi(E) \in pT$ it is easy to see that $\varphi : S \to S$ factors over $\overline{\varphi} : S \to T$, and $(d\varphi_1)$ induces a $\overline{\varphi}$-linear map $S \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \to T \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$. Thus the solutions of (2.7.2) over S and over T are the same. The hypothesis on $(d\varphi)_1$ implies that the semilinear endomorphism $(d\varphi)_1^n$ of $T \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is nilpotent modulo the maximal ideal of T, so again there is a unique solution C to (2.7.2). □

Corollary 2.7.4. *The filtered crystalline Dieudonné module functor of Proposition 2.4.3 induces a contravariant equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{Spf}\, R).$$

Proof. Chose $\varphi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ such that $(d\varphi)_1$ is nilpotent on $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$, for example $\varphi(u_i) = u_i^p$. We have a sequence of functors

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R) \to \mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{Spf}\, R) \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S})^{\nabla} \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}) \tag{2.7.3}$$

where the second and third arrow are equivalences by Propositions 2.6.4 and 2.7.3, and the total composition is an equivalence by Proposition 2.7.1. Thus the first arrow is an equivalence. □

Remark 2.7.5. In conclusion, we see that in (2.7.3), the first arrow is an equivalence, and the second arrow is an equivalence for every choice of Frobenius lift φ on $\mathfrak{S}$, while the third arrow is an equivalence when $(d\varphi)_1$ is nilpotent on $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} k$.

3. Dieudonné theory over cyclotomic rings

In this section we will prove Theorem 1. We begin by defining the setup and the relevant module categories.

3.1. **Strict actions of the cyclotomic Galois group.** Fix a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 2$, and let $F = W(k) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ and $F_s = F(\mu_{p^s})$ for $s \geq 0$ and $F_\infty = \bigcup_s F_s$. We choose a compatible system of primitive p^s-th roots of unity $\varepsilon^{(s)} \in F_s$. Fix $d \geq 0$.

For $s \geq 0$ we consider the surjective homomorphism of $W(k)$-algebras

$$\mathfrak{S} := W(k)[[u, t_1, \ldots, t_d]] \xrightarrow{\pi_s} R_s := \mathcal{O}_{F_s}[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$$

defined by $1 + u \mapsto \varepsilon^{(s)}$ and $t_i \mapsto t_i$. We equip $\mathfrak{S}$ with the extension $\varphi : \mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}$ of the Frobenius of $W(k)$ determined by $\varphi(1 + u) = (1 + u)^p$ and $\varphi(t_i) = t_i^p$, and observe that the kernel of π_s is generated by the element E_s defined by $E_0 = u$ and $E_s = \varphi^s(u)/\varphi^{s-1}(u)$ for $s \geq 1$. Note that we have $(d\varphi)_1(du) = (1+u)^{p-1}du$, so that this choice of φ *does not* satisfy the hypotheses of Propositions 2.7.3 and 2.7.1. In order to prove analogues of these results in the present "cyclotomic" setting, we will therefore need to introduce the auxiliary structure of an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$.

Let $\Gamma_s = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F_s)$ and let $\chi : \Gamma_0 \to \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ be the p-adic cyclotomic character. We let Γ_0 act on the $W(k)$-algebra $\mathfrak{S}$ by $\gamma(1 + u) = (1 + u)^{\chi(\gamma)}$ and $\gamma(t_i) = t_i$. Then π_s is equivariant with respect to the natural action of Γ_0 on R_s that fixes all t_i. The action of Γ_0 on $\mathfrak{S}$ commutes with φ, and it is continuous in the following sense.

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $s \geq 0$. The action of Γ_0 on $\mathfrak{S}$ preserves the ideal $\varphi^s(u)\mathfrak{S}$, and the induced action of Γ_s on $\mathfrak{S}/\varphi^s(u)\mathfrak{S}$ is trivial.*

Proof. We have $\varphi^s(u) = E_0E_1 \cdots E_s$ as a product of pairwise coprime prime elements of the factorial ring $\mathfrak{S}$, and for $0 \leq i \leq s$ the group Γ_0 acts on $R_i = \mathfrak{S}/E_i\mathfrak{S}$ with trivial action of Γ_s. The lemma follows easily. □

For $r \geq 1$ we consider the frame $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r = (\mathfrak{S}_r, E_r\mathfrak{S}_r, R_r, \varphi, \varphi_1')$ with $\mathfrak{S}_r = \mathfrak{S}$ and $\varphi_1'(E_r x) = \varphi(x)$. Here φ_1' depends on r. Each $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ defines a window automorphism $\gamma : \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r \to \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$, which is a c_γ-automorphism with $c_\gamma = \gamma(\varphi(E_r))/\varphi(E_r)$, i.e. we have $\varphi_1'\gamma = c_\gamma \cdot \gamma\varphi_1'$. Let S_r be the p-adic completion of the divided power envelope of the ideal $E_r\mathfrak{S}$ of $\mathfrak{S}$. We obtain a frame $\underline{S}_r = (S_r, \mathrm{Fil}\, S_r, R_r, \varphi, \varphi_1)$ with $\varphi_1 = p^{-1}\varphi$. The inclusion $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r \to \underline{S}_r$ is a c-homomorphism of frames with $c = \varphi(E_r)/p$. The action of Γ_0 on $\mathfrak{S}$ induces an action on $\underline{S}_r$ by strict frame automorphisms.

Remark 3.1.2. It will be convenient to denote the variable u of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ by u_r and to consider $\mathfrak{S}_r$ as a subring of $\mathfrak{S}_{r+1}$ by letting $u_r = \varphi(u_{r+1})$. This makes $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ into a subframe of $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{r+1}$ extending the obvious inclusion $R_r \to R_{r+1}$. The elements $u_0 = \varphi^r(u_r)$ and $E = E_r(u_r) = u_0/u_1$ and c_γ are independent of r; moreover c_γ lies in $W(k)[[u_0]]$. Similarly, $\underline{S}_r$ becomes a subframe of $\underline{S}_{r+1}$, and c is independent of r.

In the following let subgroups $\Gamma_r \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_0$ be given with $r \geq 1$. We note that the projection $\mathfrak{S} \to \mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ maps E_r into the divided power ideal (p) and thus extends to a ring homomorphism $S_r \to \mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$.

Definition 3.1.3. An action of Γ on an $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$-window or $\underline{S}_r$-window $\underline{M}$ by window automorphisms over the given action of Γ on $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ or $\underline{S}_r$ is called Γ'-*strict* if the induced action of Γ' on $M \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ or $M \otimes_{S_r} \mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ is trivial. We denote by $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}$ and $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}$ the categories of windows with a Γ'-strict action of Γ. Definition 2.6.2 with $\mathfrak{S}$ in place of A gives a category $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^\nabla$. Let $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla,\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$ be the category of objects $(\underline{M}, \nabla)$ of $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^\nabla$ that are equipped with a Γ'-strict action of Γ which is horizontal in the sense that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, one has $\nabla \circ \gamma = (\gamma \otimes d\gamma) \circ \nabla$.

For simplicity we write $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)_\Gamma = \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_\Gamma$ etc. for the category of windows with a strict action of Γ, and $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma = \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma_r}$ etc. for the category of windows with a Γ_r-strict action of Γ.

Proposition 3.1.4. *The base change functor* $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'} \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}$ *is an exact equivalence of categories.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 2.3.2, using that an action of Γ on an $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$-window or $\underline{S}_r$-window $\underline{M}$ can be given by window isomorphisms $\gamma^*(\underline{M}) \to \underline{M}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$; see (2.1.1). The strictness condition is preserved when passing from $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ to $\underline{S}_r$. □

Definition 3.1.5. An action of Γ on a BT module $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi)$ over $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ by semilinear automorphisms is called Γ'-*strict* if the induced action of Γ' on $\mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M}$ is trivial. The category of BT modules over $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ with a Γ'-strict action of Γ is denoted by $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}$. Again we write $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)_\Gamma = \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_\Gamma$ and $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma = \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma_r}$.

Lemma 3.1.6. *The equivalence of Lemma 2.1.16 extends to an exact equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'} \to \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}, \qquad \underline{M} \mapsto \underline{\mathfrak{M}}$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma$ *acts on* $\mathfrak{M} = \mathrm{Fil}\, M$ *by* $E/\gamma(E) \cdot (\gamma_M|_{\mathrm{Fil}\, M})$.

Proof. Assume that $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)$ correspond to each other, in particular $\mathfrak{M} = \mathrm{Fil}\, M$ and $M = \varphi^*\mathfrak{M}$. If $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi)$ carries an action of Γ, we let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ act on M by $\gamma_{\mathfrak{S}} \otimes \gamma_{\mathfrak{M}}$. One verifies that this definition and the construction of the lemma give well-defined and mutually inverse functors between $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}$ and $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma_{\Gamma'}$. □

Remark 3.1.7. In the definition of strict actions, we could pass from $\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ to $W(k) = \mathfrak{S}/(u, t_1, \ldots, t_d)$ without changing the resulting category; of course this is relevant only when $d \geq 1$. More precisely, in Definition 3.1.5 let $\mathfrak{M}_0 = \mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M}$ and let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_0 = \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} W(k)$. Then Γ' acts trivially on $\mathfrak{M}_0$ if and only it acts trivialy $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_0$.

This follows from the fact that the reduction map $\mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{M}_0,\varphi)\to\mathrm{End}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_0,\varphi)$ is injective. Indeed, let $J=(t_1,\dots,t_d)\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$, choose a basis of $\mathfrak{M}_0$, and let A be the corresponding matrix over $\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ of φ. Then pA^{-1} exists in $\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$. An endomorphism of $(\mathfrak{M}_0,\varphi)$ is given by a matrix C that satisfies $CA=A\varphi(C)$, or equivalently $pC=A\varphi(C)pA^{-1}$. Assume that C has coefficients in J. Since $\varphi(J)\subseteq J^p$ and since each $(\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S})/J^m$ is torsion free, it follows that the coefficients of C lie in J^m for all $m\geq 1$, and thus $C=0$.

Similarly, in Definition 3.1.3 let $M_0=M\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}}\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ or $M_0=M\otimes_{S_r}\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$, and let $\overline{M}_0=M_0\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}}W(k)$. Then Γ' acts trivially on M_0 if and only if it acts trivially on $\overline{M}_0$; this follows by the proofs of Lemma 3.1.6 and Proposition 3.1.4.

Remark 3.1.8. The duality of windows and BT modules extends naturally to a duality of such objects equipped with a Γ'-strict action of Γ. For $\underline{M}\in\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$ the associated action on $\underline{M}^t$ is simply the contragredient action defined by $\gamma(f)(\gamma(m))=f(m)$ for $m\in M$ and $f\in M^t$. Over $\mathfrak{S}_r$ a twist occurs: For $\gamma\in\Gamma_0$, the infinite product

$$\lambda_\gamma=\prod_{n\geq 0}\varphi^n(E/\gamma(E)) \tag{3.1.1}$$

converges in $\mathfrak{S}_r$ and is independent of r. For $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}\in\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$ we define an action on $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}^t$ by $\gamma(f)(\gamma(m))=\lambda_\gamma\cdot\gamma(f(m))$, while for $\underline{M}\in\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$ we define an action on $\underline{M}^t$ by $\gamma(f)(\gamma(m))=\varphi(\lambda_\gamma)\cdot\gamma(f(m))$. One can verify that these definitions give Γ'-strict actions of Γ and that the equivalences of Lemma 3.1.6 and Proposition 3.1.4 preserve duality.

When $d\geq 1$ we also need the following variant:

Definition 3.1.9. Let $\Omega_0:=\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}/W(k)[[t_1,\dots,t_d]]}=\mathfrak{S}\,du$, and let $\nabla_{S_r,0}:S_r\to S_r\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}}\Omega_0$ be the composition of ∇_{S_r} and the natural map $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}\to\Omega_0$. We denote by $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0}$ the category of $\underline{S}_r$-windows $\underline{M}$ equipped with a connection $\nabla_0:M\to M\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}}\Omega_0$ over $\nabla_{S_r,0}$ with respect to which Φ is horizontal. Let $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$ be the category of objects of $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0}$ with a Γ'-strict and horizontal action of Γ.

Lemma 3.1.10. *The functors*

$$\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla}\to\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla,\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}\to\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$$

defined by composing a connection $M\to M\otimes\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ with the natural map $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}\to\Omega_0$ are equivalences.

Proof. We have $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}=\Omega_0\oplus\Omega_1$ with $\Omega_1=\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}/W(k)[[u]]}$. The endomorphism $(d\varphi)_1=p^{-1}d\varphi$ of $\widehat{\Omega}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ preserves this decomposition, and its restriction to Ω_1 is topologically nilpotent due to the choice $\varphi(t_i)=t_i^p$. The lemma now follows from the proof of Proposition 2.7.3. □

3.2. **Construction of strict actions.** Next we study how p-divisible groups, or equivalently filtered Dieudonné crystals over R_r are related to windows with strict actions of Γ_r. Recall that $r\geq 1$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *The evaluation functor of Proposition 2.6.4 extends to an exact functor*

$$\mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{Spf}\, R_r) \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla,\Gamma_r}$$

that preserves duality.

Proof. Let $\underline{\mathscr{D}} \in \mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{Spf}(R_r))$ map to $(\underline{M},\nabla) \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla}$, so in particular $M = \mathscr{D}_{S_r/R_r}$. Since each $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ defines an endomorphism of the PD-extension $S_r \to R_r$ that commutes with φ and is trivial on R_r, we obtain a horizontal action of Γ_r on $\underline{M}$. The action is strict because $M \otimes_{S_r} \mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$ is the value of $\mathscr{D}$ at the PD-extension $\mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S} = W(k)[[t_1,\dots,t_d]] \to k[[t_1,\dots,t_d]]$, which is a quotient of $S_r \to R_r$ on which Γ_r acts trivially. □

Proposition 3.2.2. *The natural functors*

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R_r) \to \mathrm{DF}(\mathrm{Spf}\, R_r) \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma_r} \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0}$$

are all equivalences of categories. (*The first functor is contravariant.*)

Proof. The first arrow is an equivalence by Corollary 2.7.4. The second arrow exists by Lemma 3.2.1, and its composition with the forgetful functor j is an equivalence by Proposition 2.6.4 and Lemma 3.1.10. It remains to show that j is fully faithful. More precisely we show that for an object $(\underline{M},\nabla_0)$ of $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0}$ and for $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ there is at most one horizontal automorphism $\gamma_M : \underline{M} \to \underline{M}$ over the automorphism γ of $\underline{S}_r$ such that γ_M induces the identity on $M \otimes_{S_r} \mathfrak{S}/u\mathfrak{S}$, or equivalently on $M \otimes_{S_r} W(k)$; see Remark 3.1.7. If the given pair $(\underline{M},\nabla_0)$ corresponds to $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R_r)$ by the composite equivalence, then $\underline{M} \otimes_{\underline{S}_r} \underline{W}(k)$ corresponds to the special fibre G_k by classical Dieudonné theory, and γ_M corresponds to an automorphism of G that induces the identity of G_k. Thus γ_M is unique by the rigidity of p-divisible groups; see [41, II.3.3.21] and its proof. □

Lemma 3.2.3. *The forgetful functor* $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma_r} \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ *is fully faithful.*

Proof. We have to show that for each $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ there is at most one $\nabla_0 : M \to M \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \Omega_0$ which makes $\underline{M}$ into an object of $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma_r}$. The evaluation of ∇_0 at $(u+1)(d/du)$ gives a differential operator $N : M \to M$ with $N \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma)\gamma \circ N$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$. The difference of two choices of ∇_0 gives an S-linear map $\Delta : M \to M$ with $\Delta \circ \gamma = \chi(\gamma)\gamma \circ \Delta$. Let $L = \mathrm{Frac}(W(k)[[t_1,\dots,t_d]])$ and $S' = L[[u]]$. Then Γ_r acts on S', and we have an injective equivariant homomorphism $S_r \to S'$. Let $\Delta' : M' \to M'$ be the scalar extension of Δ to S'. If $\Delta \neq 0$ we choose $n \geq 0$ maximal such that $\Delta'(M') \subseteq u^n M'$. Then Δ' induces a non-zero L-linear map $\overline{\Delta}' : M'/uM' \to u^n M'/u^{n+1}M'$. Since the group Γ_r acts strictly on M, it acts trivially on M'/uM' and acts via χ^n on $u^n M'/u^{n+1}M'$. Since for $\gamma \neq 1$ the equation $\chi^{n+1}(\gamma)a = a$ has no non-zero solution $a \in L$, it follows that $\overline{\Delta}' = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\Delta = 0$, and ∇_0 is unique. □

3.3. **Construction of the connection.** We want to show that the forgetful functor of Lemma 3.2.3 is essentially surjective, i.e. that every $\underline{S}_r$-window with a strict Γ_r-action carries a natural connection. To this end, we need some preparations. As

earlier let $u_0 = \varphi^r(u_r)$, which is independent of r. We fix $r \geq 1$ and write $u := u_r$ and $\mathfrak{S} := \mathfrak{S}_r$ for simplicity.

Lemma 3.3.1. *For $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ the induced action of Γ_r on M/u_0M is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$. We show by induction that for $0 \leq s \leq r$ we have $(\gamma-1)M \subseteq \varphi^s(u)M$. The case $s = r$ is the assertion of the lemma, while the case $s = 0$ is the definition of a strict action. Let $s \geq 1$ and assume that $(\gamma-1)M \subseteq \varphi^{s-1}(u)M$. Let

$$N = \{x \in M \mid \gamma(x) - x \in \varphi^s(u)M\} = \{x \in M \mid \gamma(x) - x \in E_sM\};$$

here the second equality holds because $\varphi^s(u) = \varphi^{s-1}(u) \cdot E_s$ is a product of coprime factors in the factorial ring $\mathfrak{S}$. For $x \in M$ and $a \in \mathfrak{S}$ we have

$$(\gamma-1)(ax) = (\gamma-1)(a) \cdot x + \gamma(a) \cdot (\gamma-1)(x). \tag{3.3.1}$$

Using Lemma 3.1.1 it follows that N is an $\mathfrak{S}$-module. As γ commutes with Φ, the inductive hypothesis implies that $\Phi(M) \subseteq N$. Since $\varphi(E_r)M \subseteq \mathfrak{S} \cdot \Phi(M)$ it follows that $\varphi(E_r)M \subseteq N$. Using (3.3.1) with $a = \varphi(E_r)$, for each $x \in M$ we get that $\gamma(a) \cdot (\gamma-1)(x) \in E_sM$. Since $a \notin E_s\mathfrak{S}$ and thus $\gamma(a) \notin E_s\mathfrak{S}$, it follows that $(\gamma-1)(x) \in E_sM$ as required. □

We consider the element $t := \log(1+u_0) \in S_r$, which exists since $u_0 = \varphi^{r-1}(u)E_r$ lies in $\mathrm{Fil}\, S_r$, and which is independet of r. A direct calculation using the binomial theorem to expand $\varphi^n(u_0) = (1+u_0)^{p^n} - 1$ and elementary p-adic estimates on binomial coefficients shows that in S_r we have

$$t = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\varphi^n(u_0)}{p^n} = u_0 \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{\varphi^n(E_r)}{p} = u_0 \cdot \text{unit}.$$

We have $\varphi(t) = pt$ and $\gamma(t) = \chi(\gamma)t$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$. It is easy to see that $u_0^{p-1} \in pS_r$ and thus $t^{p-1} \in pS_r$.

Lemma 3.3.2. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ we have $(\gamma-1)(S_r) \subseteq tS_r$.*

Proof. We have $(\gamma-1)(\mathfrak{S}) \subseteq u_0\mathfrak{S} \subseteq tS_r$ by Lemma 3.1.1. Assume that for some $a \in \mathrm{Fil}\, S_r$ we have $\gamma(a) - a = bt$ with $b \in S_r$. Then $\gamma(a^p) = (a+bt)^p = a^p + pxt + b^pt^p$ for some $x \in S_r$. Since $t^{p-1} \in pS_r$ it follows that $(\gamma-1)(a^p/p) \in tS_r$. Since the divided power envelope of the ideal $E_r\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ is generated as an $\mathfrak{S}$-algebra by the successive iterates of E_r under $a \mapsto a^p/p$, the lemma follows. □

Lemma 3.3.3. *Let $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$.*

1. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ and $n \geq 0$ we have $(\gamma-1)^{n+1}(M) \in (t, p^r)^n tM$.*
2. *For $\gamma \in \Gamma_{r+n}$ with $n \geq 0$ we have $(\gamma-1)(M) \subseteq (t,p)^n tM$.*
3. *For each $n \geq 0$ the action of Γ_r on M/p^nM has an open kernel. In particular, the action of Γ_r on M is continuous.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.4, $\underline{M}$ comes from an object $\underline{M}' \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$, in particular $M = S_r \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} M'$. Using (3.3.1) with $a \in S_r$ and $x \in M'$, Lemmas 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 give the case $n = 0$ of both (1) and (2). A simple induction, using again (3.3.1) and

the relation $\gamma(t) = \chi(\gamma)t$, gives (1) in general. Since the multiplication $p : \Gamma_{r+n} \to \Gamma_{r+n+1}$ is bijective and

$$(\gamma^p - 1) = (p + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(\gamma^i - 1))(\gamma - 1),$$

a similar induction gives (2) in general. Assertion (3) follows from (2) since $t^{p-1} \in pS$. □

Following [2, §4.1] one can differentiate a continuous action of Γ_r on a finite free S_r-module M as follows. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ sufficiently close to 1 we have $(\gamma-1)(M) \subseteq pM$, which implies that for such γ the series

$$\log(\gamma)(x) = \sum_{n\geq 1}(-1)^{n-1}\frac{(\gamma-1)^n(x)}{n}$$

with $x \in M$ converges. For $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ we have $\log(\gamma^a) = a \cdot \log(\gamma)$, and thus

$$N_M := p^r \frac{\log(\gamma)}{\log(\chi(\gamma))}$$

is a well-defined map $N_M : M \to M \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ which is independent of γ sufficiently close to 1. It is easy to see that

$$N_M = p^r \lim_{\gamma\to 1} \frac{\gamma - 1}{\chi(\gamma) - 1}.$$

It follows that $N_M(ax) = N_S(a)x + aN_M(x)$ for $a \in S_r$ and $x \in M$, in particular N_{S_r} is a derivation, and N_M is a differential operator over N_{S_r}. Since $N_{S_r}(t) = p^r t$ we must have $N_{S_r} = (1 + u)t(d/du)$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ we have $N_M\gamma = \gamma N_M$.

In our context the main point is that for strict actions on windows, no denominators occur:

Proposition 3.3.4. *For $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ we have $N_M(M) \in tM$ and $N_M\Phi = \Phi N_M$.*

Proof. Since $t^{p-1} \in pS_r$, Lemma 3.3.3 (1) implies that the series $\log(\gamma)$ converges for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$ and that $\log(\gamma)(M) \subseteq tM$. Since the factor $p^r/\log(\chi(\gamma))$ is a p-adic unit when $\gamma \in \Gamma_r \setminus \Gamma_{r+1}$, the first assertion follows. The second assertion is clear. □

Corollary 3.3.5. *The forgetful functor $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma_r} \to \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. The functor is fully faithful by Lemma 3.2.3. Given $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$, let $\nabla_0 : M \to M \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \Omega_0$ be the connection whose evaluation at $(1 + u)t(d/du)$ is the differential operator N_M of Lemma 3.3.4; this is well-defined since the image of N_M lies in tM. Since the derivation $(1+u)t(d/du) : S_r \to S_r$ commutes with Φ and with all $\gamma \in \Gamma_r$, the relations $N_M\Phi = \Phi N_M$ and $N_M\gamma = \gamma N_M$ mean that Φ and the action of Γ_r are horizontal with respect to ∇_0. Thus $(\underline{M}, \nabla_0)$ is an object of $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\nabla_0,\Gamma_r}$, which proves that the functor is essentially surjective. □

Together with Proposition 3.2.2 we obtain:

Corollary 3.3.6. *There is a contravariant and exact equivalence of categories* $\mathrm{pdiv}(R_r) \cong \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ *that preserves duality.* □

3.4. **p-divisible groups with descent.** The preceding results can be extended quite formally to include the following objects. Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq K'$ be finite extensions. We put $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ and $R' = \mathcal{O}_{K'}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$.

Definition 3.4.1. Let $\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^K$ be the category of p-divisible groups G over R' equipped with a descent of $G \otimes_{R'} R'[p^{-1}]$ to $R[p^{-1}]$. If K' is contained in F_∞ we also write $\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^K = \mathrm{pdiv}(R')^{\Gamma_K}$.

Remark 3.4.2. Assume that K'/K is Galois with Galois group $\overline{\Gamma}$, for example $K' \subseteq F_\infty$ and $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma_K/\Gamma_{K'}$. Then $\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^K$ is equivalent to the category of $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R')$ that are equipped with isomorphisms $G_{R'[p^{-1}]} \cong \overline{\gamma}^*(G_{R'[p^{-1}]})$ for each $\overline{\gamma} \in \overline{\Gamma}$ satisfying the obvious cocycle condition. By Tate's theorem, these isomorphisms extend uniquely to isomorphisms $G \cong \overline{\gamma}^*(G)$ over R'.

Proposition 3.4.3. *Assume that $F \subseteq K \subseteq F_r$ with $r \geq 1$, and let $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$. There are exact equivalences of categories (the first one contravariant)*

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R_r)^\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma \cong \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^\Gamma$$

that preserve duality.

Proof. The second and third equivalence are Proposition 3.1.4 and Lemma 3.1.6. To prove the first equivalence, let $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R_r)$, and let $\underline{M}(G) \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma_r}$ be the associated Γ_r-window given by Corollary 3.3.6. Let us put $\overline{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\Gamma_r$. By Remark 3.4.2, giving a descent of $G \otimes R_r[p^{-1}]$ to $R[p^{-1}]$ is equivalent to giving isomorphisms $w_{\overline{\gamma}} : G \to \overline{\gamma}^*(G)$ for each $\overline{\gamma} \in \overline{\Gamma}$ that satisfy the cocycle condition. Every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ that lifts $\overline{\gamma}$ acts on the PD-frame $\underline{S}_r$, and we have a natural isomorphism $\gamma^*\underline{M}(G) \cong \underline{M}(\overline{\gamma}^*G)$. Thus $w_{\overline{\gamma}}$ induces an isomorphism $w_\gamma : \gamma^*(\underline{M}(G)) \to \underline{M}(G)$. In this way, we obtain a bijection between descent data for $G \otimes R_r[p^{-1}]$ relative to $\overline{\Gamma}$ and actions of Γ on $\underline{M}(G)$ that extend the given action of Γ_r, and the first equivalence of the proposition follows from that of Corollary 3.3.6. □

To extend this result further, we consider a chain $F \subseteq K \subseteq K' \subseteq K'' \subseteq F_r$ of extensions with $r \geq 1$. Let $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ be the corresponding groups, and let $R'' = \mathcal{O}_{K''}[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$. We note that for $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R'')^\Gamma$, the isomorphisms of Remark 3.4.2 give an action of Γ/Γ'' on the special fibre G_k.

Lemma 3.4.4. *The base change functor* $\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^\Gamma \to \mathrm{pdiv}(R'')^\Gamma$ *is fully faithful, and its essential image consists of all $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R'')^\Gamma$ for which the action of Γ'/Γ'' on G_k is trivial.*

Proof. One easily reduces to the case $K = K'$, which leaves us with the functor $\mathrm{pdiv}(R) \to \mathrm{pdiv}(R'')^\Gamma$. Clearly the functor is fully faithful, and for G in its image the action of Γ/Γ'' on G_k is trivial. For a given p-divisible group G_k over k let A be its universal deformation ring over $W(k)$. A deformation of G_k to $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R'')$ corresponds to a homomorphism of $W(k)$-algebras $h : A \to R''$, and there are isomorphisms $G \cong \overline{\gamma}^*G$ which reduce to the identity of G_k for each $\overline{\gamma} \in \Gamma/\Gamma''$ if and

only if for each $\overline{\gamma}$ we have $\overline{\gamma} \circ h = h$. This means that the image of h lies in the ring of invariants $(R'')^{\Gamma/\Gamma''} = R$, i.e. G is defined over R. □

Again let $F \subseteq K \subseteq K' \subseteq F_r$ with $r \geq 1$ and let $\Gamma = \Gamma_K$ and $\Gamma' = \Gamma_{K'}$.

Proposition 3.4.5. *There are exact equivalences of categories*

$$\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^{\Gamma} \cong \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'} \cong \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'} \cong \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'}$$

(*the first one contravariant*) *that preserve duality.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 3.4.3 together with Lemma 3.4.4 applied with $K'' = F_r$. Namely, let $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R_r)^{\Gamma}$ correspond to the Γ-window $\underline{M}$ over $\underline{S}_r$. By Remark 3.1.7, the action of Γ' is trivial on M/uM if and only if it is trivial on $M \otimes_S W(k)$, which means that Γ'/Γ_r acts trivially on G_k, i.e. that G lies in the image of $\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^{\Gamma}$. This gives the first equivalence. The second and third equivalence are straightforward. □

For $K = K'$ we obtain Theorem 1:

Corollary 3.4.6. *The category* $\mathrm{pdiv}(R)$ *is equivalent to the category* $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)_{\Gamma}$ *of BT modules* $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}$ *over* $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ *with an action of* Γ_K *which is trivial on* $\mathfrak{M}/u\mathfrak{M}$.

Remark 3.4.7. Assume that $F \subseteq \widetilde{K} \subseteq \widetilde{K}' \subseteq F_{\widetilde{r}}$ is another instance of the above data with $K \subseteq \widetilde{K}$ and $K' \subseteq \widetilde{K}'$ and $r \leq \widetilde{r}$. Under the equivalence of Proposition 3.4.5, the base change functor $\mathrm{pdiv}(R')^{\Gamma} \to \mathrm{pdiv}(\widetilde{R}')^{\widetilde{\Gamma}}$ corresponds to the base change functor $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma}_{\Gamma'} \to \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_{\widetilde{r}})^{\widetilde{\Gamma}}_{\widetilde{\Gamma}'}$ defined by $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi) \mapsto (\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} \mathfrak{S}_{\widetilde{r}}, \varphi \otimes \varphi)$ with the obivous action of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Here $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is viewed as a subring of $\mathfrak{S}_{\widetilde{r}}$ as in Remark 3.1.2.

Example 3.4.8. Let us trace the constructions for the p-divisible groups $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ and $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$. The $\underline{S}_r$-window associated to $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ is $\underline{S}_r = (S_r, \mathrm{Fil}\, S_r, R_r, \varphi, \varphi_1)$ with the standard action of Γ_0, which corresponds to the $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$-window $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r = (\mathfrak{S}_r, E_r\mathfrak{S}_r, \varphi, \varphi')$ and the BT module $(\mathfrak{S}_r, \varphi)$, both with the standard action of Γ_0. The $\underline{S}_r$-window associated to $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ is $\underline{S}^t_r = (S_r, S_r, p\varphi, \varphi)$, and we claim that this corresponds to the $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$-window $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^t_r = (\mathfrak{S}_r, \mathfrak{S}_r, \varphi(E_r)\varphi, \varphi)$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ acting as $x \mapsto \varphi(\lambda_\gamma)\gamma(x)$, where λ_γ is defined in (3.1.1). Indeed, the base change of $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}^t_r$ to $\underline{S}_r$ is equal to $(\underline{S}^t_r)_c = (S_r, S_r, \varphi(E_r)\varphi, c\varphi)$, and multiplication by u_0/t carries this window isomorphically onto $\underline{S}^t_r$. The associated BT module is $(\mathfrak{S}_r, E_r\varphi)$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ acting as $x \mapsto \lambda_\gamma\gamma(x)$.

4. Galois representations

In this section we relate the equivalences of Proposition 3.4.5 and Corollary 3.4.6 with the theory of Galois representations. After some preliminaries on relative period rings in §4.1, we treat the general case in §4.2. We then specialize to the case $d = 0$ in §4.3 in order to connect with the theory of Wach modules and of Kisin–Ren, which we do in §4.4–4.5.

Recall that $F = W(k) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ for a perfect field k of odd characteristic p. Let $R_0 = \mathcal{O}_F[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ and let L be an algebraic closure of $F((t_1, \ldots, t_d))$. For a finite extension K of F inside L we consider $R := \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ as a subring of L. Let $\overline{R}$

be the union of all finite R-algebras S in L such that $S[p^{-1}]$ is etale over $R[p^{-1}]$. Let $\mathcal{G}_R = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{R}[p^{-1}]/R[p^{-1}])$ and let $\mathfrak{H}_R \subset \mathcal{G}_R$ be the kernel of the p-adic cyclotomic character, thus $\mathcal{G}_R/\mathfrak{H}_R = \Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$.

Let $R_{(\infty)} = R[t_1^{p^{-\infty}}, \ldots, t_d^{p^{-\infty}}] \subseteq \overline{R}$ be the R-algebra generated by a chosen compatible system of p^r-th roots of the t_i. Let $\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{R}[p^{-1}]/R_{(\infty)}[p^{-1}])$ and let $\mathfrak{H}_{R_{(\infty)}} \subset \mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}$ be the kernel of the p-adic cyclotomic character, thus again $\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}/\mathfrak{H}_{R_{(\infty)}} = \Gamma_K$. In the case $d = 0$ we have $R = R_{(\infty)}$.

We will always assume that $K \subseteq F_r = F(\mu_{p^r})$ for some r, which means that $\mathfrak{H}_R = \mathfrak{H}_{R_0}$. The main point will be to recover the Tate module of a p-divisible group G over R as a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}$ from the BT module with Γ_K-action $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G)$ associated to G via crystalline Dieudonné theory by Proposition 3.4.5. This can be done by a variant of Faltings' integral comparison isomorphism [24, Th. 7].

Remark. Since G is determined by $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G)$, this module also determines the Tate module $T_p(G)$ as a representation of the full Galois group $\mathcal{G}_R$. It seems to be inherent to the situation that one directly recovers $T_p(G)$ only as a representation of $\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}$. Without the action of Γ_K one would even get $T_p(G)$ only as a representation of $\mathfrak{H}_{R_{(\infty)}}$; see [31, Proposition 8.1].

4.1. **Relative period rings.** To fix the notation we recall some relative period rings following [12]. For R as above let $\mathcal{R} := \varprojlim(\overline{R}/p, \varphi)$. Here φ is surjective on $\overline{R}/p$ by [12, Prop. 2.0.1]. Let $A_{\mathrm{inf}} := W(\mathcal{R})$, and let

$$\theta : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \widehat{\overline{R}} = \varprojlim \overline{R}/p^n$$

be the unique homomorphism that lifts the natural map $A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \overline{R}/p$. The ideal $\mathrm{Ker}(\theta)$ is principal, and an element $\xi = (a_0, a_1, \ldots) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\theta)$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{R}$ generates this ideal if and only if a_1 is a unit; see [12, Prop. 5.1.2] and its proof. Let A_{cris} be the p-adic completion of the PD-envelope of $\mathrm{Ker}(\theta)$. The rings A_{inf} and A_{cris} carry a Frobenius φ and an action of $\mathcal{G}_{R_0}$.

The chosen system of primitive p^r-th roots of unity $\varepsilon^{(r)} \in \overline{R}$ corresponds to an element $\underline{\varepsilon}$ of $\mathcal{R}$. We put $u_0 = [\underline{\varepsilon}] - 1 \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ and $u_r = \varphi^{-r}(u_0)$. Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq d$ the chosen compatible system of p^r-th roots of t_i in $\overline{R}$ gives an element $\underline{t}_i \in \mathcal{R}$. By an abuse of notation we write $t_i = [\underline{t}_i] \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ and thus consider $\mathfrak{S}_r = W(k)[[u_r, t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ as a subring of A_{inf}. Here φ and an element $g \in \mathcal{G}_{R_0}$ act by $\varphi(1 + u_r) = (1 + u_r)^p$ and $g(1 + u_r) = (1 + u_r)^{\chi(g)}$. Moreover $\varphi(t_i) = t_i^p$, and the group $\mathcal{G}_{(R_0)_{(\infty)}}$ acts trivially on t_i.

The restriction of θ to $\mathfrak{S}_r$ is the homomorphism $\theta_r : \mathfrak{S}_r \to \mathcal{O}_{F_r}[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ defined by $1 + u_r \mapsto \varepsilon^{(r)}$ and $t_i \mapsto t_i$. For $r \geq 1$, the element $E = E_r(u_r) = u_0/\varphi^{-1}(u_0)$ is independent of r, and it generates the ideals $\mathrm{Ker}(\theta)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\theta_r)$. For $r \geq 1$ let S_r be the p-adic completion of the PD-envelope of $E\mathfrak{S}_r$. The construction of §2.3 applied

to $\mathfrak{S}_r$ and A_{inf} gives a commutative diagram of frames

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r & \longrightarrow & \underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{S}_r & \longrightarrow & \underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}} \end{array} \tag{4.1.1}$$

where in the upper row, the ideals Fil are generated by E with $\varphi_1'(Ex) = \varphi(x)$, and in the lower row, the ideals Fil are the natural PD-ideals with $\varphi_1 = p^{-1}\varphi$. The horizontal arrows are strict homomorphisms, and the vertical arrows are c-homomorphisms for $c = \varphi(E)/p$ which induce equivalences on windows by the descent Proposition 2.3.2. The Galois group $\mathcal{G}_{(R_0)_{(\infty)}}$ acts compatibly on all frames of the diagram, more precisely $g \in \mathcal{G}_{(R_0)_{(\infty)}}$ induces strict automorphisms of the lower two frames and c_g-automorphisms of the upper two frames with $c_g = g(\varphi(E))/\varphi(E)$. The action of $\mathcal{G}_{(R_0)_{(\infty)}}$ on $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r$ and on $\underline{S}_r$ factors over Γ_F. Here the nested system $(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r \to \underline{S}_r)_{r\geq 1}$ coincides with that considered in §3 for $d = 0$; see Remark 3.1.2.

Lemma 4.1.1. *We have* $A_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{Z}_p$ *and* $A_{\mathrm{inf}}^{\varphi=E} = u_1\mathbf{Z}_p$.

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of $(\overline{R}/p)^{\varphi=1} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and thus $\mathcal{R}^{\varphi=1} = \mathbb{F}_p$, which is proved in [12, Le. 6.2.18]. The second assertion is proved by a variant of the argument of loc.cit. Note that $\varphi(u_1) = Eu_1$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\mathcal{R}^{\varphi=\overline{E}}$ is equal to $\overline{u}_1\mathbb{F}_p$, where $\overline{u}_1 = \varphi^{-1}(\underline{\varepsilon} - 1) \in \mathcal{R}$ is the image of u_1 and $\overline{E} = \overline{u}_1^{p-1}$ is the image of E. Let $a = (a_0, a_1, \ldots) \in \mathcal{R}$ with $a_i \in \overline{R}/p$ such that $a^p = \overline{E}a$. For $r \geq 0$ let $\varpi_r = (\varepsilon^{(r+1)} - 1)^{p-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{r+1}}$, thus $v_p(\varpi_r) = p^{-r}$. We have $a_r^p = \varpi_r a_r$ in $\overline{R}/p$. Hensel's Lemma implies that for $r \geq 1$ the polynomial $X^p - \varpi_r X$ has a unique root $\widetilde{a}_r \in \overline{R}$ with $\widetilde{a}_r \equiv a_r \bmod p\varpi_r^{-1}\overline{R}$. Since this polynomial has only p distinct roots in the domain $\overline{R}$, it follows that the image of a_r in $\overline{R}/p\varpi_r^{-1}$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_p\overline{u}_{r+1}$. Therefore $a \in \overline{u}_1\mathbb{F}_p$ in $\mathcal{R}$. □

Remark 4.1.2. The element $\overline{u}_1 \in \mathcal{R}$ and thus also $u_1 \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ are non-zero divisors. Indeed, $\overline{R}$ is p-torsion free and thus flat over $\mathscr{O}_{F_\infty}$. Thus each $a = (a_0, a_1, \ldots) \in \mathcal{R}$ with $a_i \in \overline{R}/p$ and $\overline{u}_1 a = 0$ satisfies $a_i \equiv 0 \bmod p\pi_1^{-1}\overline{R}$, which implies that $a = 0$.

4.2. **Recovering the Tate module.** Let $F \subseteq K \subseteq K' \subseteq F_r$ with $r \geq 1$ be given, and let $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ and $R' = \mathcal{O}_{K'}[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$ and $R_r = \mathcal{O}_{F_r}[[t_1, \ldots, t_d]]$.

For $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R')^{\Gamma_K}$ the Tate module $T_p(G)$ is naturally a $\mathbf{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_R]$-module, whose restriction to a $\mathbf{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}]$-module we want to reconstruct from the BT module over $\mathfrak{S}_r$ with Γ_K-action $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G)$ associated to G by Proposition 3.4.5. Since the base change functor $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{R'})^{\Gamma_K} \to \mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{R_r})^{\Gamma_K}$ changes neither $T_p(G)$ nor $\mathfrak{M}_r(G)$, for simplicity we may and do assume that $K' = F_r$. In particular, $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_R)$ is viewed as a full subcategory of $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{R_r})^{\Gamma_K}$.

In the following we will use the convention that a BT module $\underline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)$ corresponds to the windows $\underline{\mathbb{M}} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)$ and $\underline{M} \in \mathrm{Win}(\underline{S}_r)$, moreover $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, $\underline{\mathbb{M}}_{\mathrm{inf}}$, and $\underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ denote the obvious base change to the other two frames of (4.1.1); see Lemma 2.1.16 and Proposition 2.3.2.

For $\underline{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_K}$ as in Definition 3.1.5 we consider the $\mathbf{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}]$-module

$$T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_r,\varphi}(\mathfrak{M}, A_{\mathrm{inf}})$$

where $g \in \mathcal{G}_R$ acts by conjugation.

Lemma 4.2.1. *There are natural $\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}$-equivariant isomorphisms*

$$T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{inf}}, \underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{M}}_{\mathrm{inf}}, \underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}, \underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}).$$

where the Hom*'s are taken in* $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}})$*,* $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}})$*, and* $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}})$ *in that order.*

Proof. The first isomorphism is clear, the second isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.1.16, and the third isomorphism exists because $\underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is crystalline by Proposition 2.3.2. □

Continuing our above notational convention, for $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R_r)^{\Gamma_K}$ we write $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G)$, $\underline{\mathbb{M}}_r(G)$, *etc.* for the object of $\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_K}$, $\mathrm{Win}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_K}$, *etc.* corresponding to G via Proposition 3.4.3. The action of Γ_K induces an action of $\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}$ on the various base change modules and windows over the frames of (4.1.1). We consider the homomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}]$-modules

$$\alpha_G : T_p(G) \to T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G)) \tag{4.2.1}$$

defined as the composition

$$T_p(G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p, G) \xrightarrow{\underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}} \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}(G), \underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p)) \cong T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G))$$

using Example 3.4.8 and Lemma 4.2.1. Let

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_G : T_p(G) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to \mathfrak{M}_r(G)^t \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} A_{\mathrm{inf}} \tag{4.2.2}$$

be the A_{inf}-linear map induced by α_G, where ^{t} refers to the dual module as in Remark 2.1.15.

Proposition 4.2.2. *Here $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ is injective with cokernel annihilated by u_1, and α_G is bijective.*

This is a variant of [24, Thm. 7] with a similar proof. See also [31, §5.2].

Proof. We start with the case $G = \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$, with associated windows as given in Example 3.4.8. The $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module $T_p(\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m)$ is generated by $\varepsilon : \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \to \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$, which induces $t : (\underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}})^t \to \underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ over $\underline{A}_{\mathrm{cris}}$.[1] The corresponding homomorphism of $\underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$-windows $(\underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}})^t \to \underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}}$ is multiplication by $t \cdot u_0/t = u_0$, and the associated map of BT modules is $\varphi^{-1}(u_0) = u_1 : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \to A_{\mathrm{inf}}$.

For general G let ρ_G the "dual version" of α_G, defined as the composite

$$T_p(G^\vee) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{R}}(G, \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m), \underline{M}_{\mathrm{cris}}(G)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\underline{A}_{\mathrm{inf}})^t, \underline{\mathfrak{M}}_{\mathrm{inf}}(G))$$

[1] Let us recall the proof. The universal vector extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ is obtained as the pushout of $\mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{Q}_p \to \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$ by $\mathbf{Z}_p \to \mathbf{G}_a$. The given map $\varepsilon : \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p \to \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_F}$ lifts uniquely to a map $\mathbf{Q}_p \to \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ over A_{cris} with $1 \mapsto [\varepsilon]$. Its restriction to $\mathbf{Z}_p$ extends to an algebraic homomorphism $\mathbf{G}_a \to \hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ over $\mathrm{Spf}\, A_{\mathrm{cris}}$, and $\mathrm{Lie}\, \mathbf{G}_a \to \mathrm{Lie}\, \mathbf{G}_m$ sends $1 = \log_{\mathbf{G}_a}(1)$ to $t = \log_{\mathbf{G}_m}([\varepsilon])$.

where the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 4.2.1 applied to the dual windows. Under the identification of $\mathbf{Z}_p$-modules $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(T_p(G^\vee),\mathbf{Z}_p)\cong T_p(G)$, the homomorphism ρ_G gives rise to an A_{inf}-linear map

$$\widetilde{\rho}_G : \mathfrak{M}_r(G)^t\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} A_{\mathrm{inf}}\to T_p(G)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} A_{\mathrm{inf}}.$$

We have a commutative diagram with perfect bilinear vertical maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_p(G)\times T_p(G^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\alpha\times\rho} & \mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{inf}},A_{\mathrm{inf}})\times\mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(A_{\mathrm{inf}},\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{inf}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T(\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m) & \xrightarrow[\alpha]{} & \mathrm{Hom}_{A_{\mathrm{inf}}}(A_{\mathrm{inf}},A_{\mathrm{inf}}) \end{array}$$

which implies that $\widetilde{\rho}_G\circ\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ is equal to $1\otimes u_1$. This proves the first assertion of the proposition. Since u_1 not a zero divisor in A_{inf} (see Remark 4.1.2) it follows that $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_G$ are injective. To prove the second assertion we have to consider the Frobenius maps. Let $\varphi^t:\mathfrak{M}_r(G)^t\to\mathfrak{M}_r(G)^t$ be defined as in Remark 2.1.15. Then $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_G$ are homomorphisms of Frobenius modules

$$(T_p(G)\otimes A_{\mathrm{inf}},1\otimes E\varphi)\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\alpha}_G}(\mathfrak{M}_r(G)^t\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r}A_{\mathrm{inf}},\varphi^t\otimes\varphi)\xrightarrow{\widetilde{\rho}_G}(T_p(G)\otimes A_{\mathrm{inf}},1\otimes\varphi).$$

If we apply the operator $\{x\mid\varphi(x)=Ex\}$ to these maps, using Lemma 4.1.1 we obtain injective maps

$$T_p(G)\xrightarrow{\alpha_G}T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G))\to T_p(G)\otimes u_1\mathbf{Z}_p$$

whose composition is bijective. This proves the Proposition. □

4.3. **The case $d=0$.** We now specialize the preceding discussion to the case $d=0$. We keep our running notation, so we suppose given $F\subseteq K\subseteq K'\subseteq F_r$ with $r\geq 1$, and note that since $d=0$ we have $R=\mathscr{O}_K$, $R'=\mathscr{O}_{K'}$, $R_r=\mathscr{O}_{F_r}$, and $R_{(\infty)}=R$, so $\mathcal{G}_R=\mathcal{G}_{R_{(\infty)}}=\mathcal{G}_K$ is the absolute Galois group of K. Moreover, the rings $\mathcal{R}$, A_{inf} and A_{cris} are then the "classical" period rings of Fontaine, with $\theta:A_{\mathrm{cris}}\to\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{C}_K}$ the usual map. Again, for the sake of simplicity, it is harmless to assume that $K'=F_r$ and to regard $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ as a full subcategory of $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{F_r})^{\Gamma_K}$, which we do henceforth.

Recall that we view $\mathfrak{S}_r:=\mathscr{O}_F[[u_r]]$ as a $\mathcal{G}_F$ and φ-stable subring of A_{inf} by identifying $u_0=[\underline{\varepsilon}]-1$ and $u_r=\varphi^{-r}(u_0)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$ be the p-adic completion of $\mathfrak{S}_r[1/u_r]$, viewed as a subring of $W(\mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{R}))$, let $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}}\subseteq W(\mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{R}))$ be the completion of its maximal unramified extension, and define

$$\mathfrak{S}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}=\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}}\cap A_{\mathrm{inf}}. \tag{4.3.1}$$

We have $\mathfrak{H}_F=\mathrm{Gal}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}/\mathcal{E}_r)$. For $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}\in\mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_K}$, consider the $\mathbf{Z}_p[\mathcal{G}_K]$-module

$$T^*_{\mathrm{nr}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}})=\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_r,\varphi}(\mathfrak{M},\mathfrak{S}_r^{\mathrm{nr}})$$

where $g\in\mathcal{G}_K$ acts by conjugation.

Lemma 4.3.1. *There is a natural $\mathcal{G}_K$-equivariant isomorphism*

$$T^*_{\mathrm{nr}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}})\cong T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}})$$

and $T^*_{\mathrm{nr}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}})$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module of rank equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{M}$ over $\mathfrak{S}_r$.

Proof. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_r,\varphi}(\mathfrak{M},\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_r,\varphi}(\mathfrak{M},W(\mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{R})))$, and this is a free $\mathbf{Z}_p$-module of rank equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{M}$; see [25, A.1.2.7 and A.2.1.3]. Since $W(\mathrm{Fr}(\mathcal{R}))/A_{\mathrm{inf}}$ has no non-zero φ-stable and $\mathfrak{S}_r$-finite submodules (cf. [25, B.1.8.4]), this Hom module coincides with $T^*_{\mathrm{inf}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_r,\varphi}(\mathfrak{M},A_{\mathrm{inf}})$ and therefore also with $T^*_{\mathrm{nr}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}})$ by the very definition (4.3.1) of $\mathfrak{S}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}$. □

For $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(R_r)^{\Gamma_K}$, the homomorphism α_G of (4.2.1) can be viewed as a homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_G : T_p(G) \to T^*_{\mathrm{nr}}(\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G))$$

by Lemma 4.3.1, and this homomorphism is bijective by Proposition 4.2.2. Moreover, the $\mathfrak{S}$-linear map

$$\widetilde{\alpha}^{\mathrm{nr}}_G : T_p(G)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p}\mathfrak{S}_r^{\mathrm{nr}} \to \mathfrak{M}_r(G)^t\otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r}\mathfrak{S}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}$$

induced by $\widetilde{\alpha}^{\mathrm{nr}}_G$ is injective with cokernel annihilated by u_1. Indeed, this follows from the proof of Proposition 4.2.2 with $\mathfrak{S}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}$ in place of A_{inf}, using in addition Lemma 4.3.1.

4.4. **Modules of finite E-height.** Let K be a finite extension of F contained in F_∞ and let $r \geq 0$. We denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ the category of free $\mathbf{Z}_p$-representations of $\mathcal{G}_K$ and by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}}(\varphi,\Gamma_K)$ the category of free etale (φ,Γ_K)-modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$. By Fontaine [25], we have mutually inverse equivalences of categories

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}_K) \underset{T_r}{\overset{D_r}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}}(\varphi,\Gamma_K)$$

defined by $D_r(T) = (T\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}})^{\mathfrak{H}_F}$ and $T_r(M) = (M\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}}\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}})^{\varphi=1}$.

Remark 4.4.1. For $0 \leq s \leq r$ these functors are related as follows. Let $i : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_s} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$ be the inclusion. The scalar extension functor

$$i^* : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_s}}(\varphi,\Gamma_K) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}}(\varphi,\Gamma_K) \tag{4.4.1}$$

satisfies $T_s \cong T_r\circ i^*$ and $D_r \cong i^*\circ D_s$, in particular (4.4.1) is an equivalence. Moreover, the Frobenius iterate φ^{r-s} of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$ induces a bijecive homomorphism

$$\lambda_{r,s} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_s}$$

with $i\circ\lambda_{r,s} = \varphi^{r-s}$, which induces an isomorphism $\lambda^*_{r,s}\circ D_r \cong D_s$.

Definition 4.4.2. For $r \geq 1$ (so $E \in \mathfrak{S}_r$) we write $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_r}(\varphi,\Gamma_K)$ for the category of finite free $\mathfrak{S}_r$-modules $\mathfrak{M}$ equipped with a φ-linear map $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}[E^{-1}]$ that induces an isomorphism $\varphi^*\mathfrak{M}[E^{-1}] \cong \mathfrak{M}[E^{-1}]$, and with an action of Γ_K which commutes with $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ and which is finite on $\mathfrak{M}/u_r\mathfrak{M}$, *i.e.* an open subgroup acts trivially on this quotient.

Assume that $F \subseteq K \subseteq K' \subseteq F_r$ with $r \geq 1$. The main result of Kisin-Ren [33], specialised to the case where the Lubin-Tate group is the multiplicative group $\hat{\mathbf{G}}_m$, provides the following equivalence:

Proposition 4.4.3 (Kisin–Ren)**.** *There is an equivalence $T \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{M}_r(T)$ between the category of all $T \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{K'}$ is crystalline and the category of all $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_r}(\varphi, \Gamma_K)$ with the property that $\Gamma_{K'}$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{M}/u_r\mathfrak{M}$. Furthermore, there is a natural isomorphism of (φ, Γ_K)-modules*

$$\mathfrak{M}_r(T) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r} \cong D_r(T). \tag{4.4.2}$$

Strictly speaking, this is stated in [33] only when $K = K'$, but the general case is a formal consequence. Moreover, [33] works with $\mathfrak{S}_0$ instead of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ and constructs a functor $T \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$ valued in the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_0}(\varphi, \Gamma_K)$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules over $\mathfrak{S}_0$ defined just as in Definition 4.4.2, replacing $\mathfrak{S}_r$ with $\mathfrak{S}_0$ and $E = E_r(u_r)$ with $E_r(u_0)$. This makes no difference to the theory, as φ^r induces a bijective homomorphism $\lambda_{r,0} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ carrying $\mathfrak{S}_r$ onto $\mathfrak{S}_0$ and sending E to $E_r(u_0)$, so by base change induces an equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_r}(\varphi, \Gamma_K) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_0}(\varphi, \Gamma_K)$, and we define $\mathfrak{M}_r(T)$ as the inverse image of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$ under this equivalence; in other words

$$\mathfrak{M}_r(T) := \mathfrak{S}_r \otimes_{\lambda_{r,0}^{-1}, \mathfrak{S}_0} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T). \tag{4.4.3}$$

Moreover, by [32, Th. 0.3] the category of such T with Hodge-Tate weights 0 and 1 is equivalent to the category $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{K'})^{\Gamma_K}$. Thus the case $d = 0$ of Theorem 1 is a special case of [33]. Let us verify that in this case the modules of [33] and of Theorem 1 are indeed the same up to a duality.

Lemma 4.4.4. *The scalar extension functor*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_r}(\varphi, \Gamma_K) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}}(\varphi, \Gamma_K)$$

is fully faithful.

We note that this does not hold without a finite action of Γ_K. For example, $u_1 : (\mathfrak{S}_r, E\varphi) \to (\mathfrak{S}_r, \varphi)$ becomes an isomorphism over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$ but is not an isomorphism.

Proof. For given $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathfrak{S}_r}(\varphi, \Gamma_K)$ we write $M := \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$ and $\widetilde{M} := \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$. The assertion is that every (φ, Γ_K)-module homomorphism $f : \widetilde{M} \to M$ maps $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ into $\mathfrak{M}$. Since for $0 \le r \le s$ we have $\mathfrak{S}_r = \mathfrak{S}_s \cap \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r}$ and since (4.4.1) is an equivalence, we may increase r and thus assume that $K \subseteq F_r$ and that Γ_{F_r} acts trivially on $\mathfrak{M}/u_r\mathfrak{M}$ and on $\mathfrak{M}'/u_r\mathfrak{M}'$. In that case the assertion follows from [33, Cor. 3.3.8]. □

Remark 4.4.5. Lemma 4.4.4 is analogous to [32, Prop. 2.1.12] and [15, Prop. 3.1], and one can easily give a direct proof along similar lines. Namely, for $f : \widetilde{M} \to M$ as above, using [32, 2.1.10] it follows that $\mathfrak{M}' = f(\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}) + \mathfrak{M}$ is torsion free with $\mathfrak{M}' \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r} = M$. One can replace $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ by $\mathfrak{M}'[1/p] \cap M$ and thus assume that $\widetilde{M} = M$ with $f = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$. In order to show that $\mathfrak{M} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ one can pass to the determinant. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ have finite E-height, we find $\mathfrak{M} = u_0^n\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ for some $n \ge 0$, using for example [4, Le. 2.1.2]. The action of Γ_K can be finite on $\mathfrak{M}/u_r\mathfrak{M}$ and on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}/u_r\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ only when $n = 0$.

Let $G \in \mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{K'})^{\Gamma_K}$ be given, and let $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G) \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_K}$ be the module associated to it by Proposition 3.4.5. The dual of the homomorphism $\widetilde{\alpha}_G$ of Proposition 4.2.2 induces a φ and $\mathcal{G}_K$-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{M}_r(G) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}} \cong T_p(G)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r^{\mathrm{nr}}}.$$

The invariants under $\mathfrak{H}_K = \mathfrak{H}_F$ give an isomorphism of (φ, Γ_K)-modules

$$\mathfrak{M}_r(G) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_r} \cong D_r(T_p(G)^\vee). \tag{4.4.4}$$

Proposition 4.4.6. *As submodules of $D_r(T_p(G)^\vee)$ via (4.4.2) and (4.4.4), we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_r(G) = \mathfrak{M}_r(T_p(G)^\vee).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.4.4. □

4.5. **Representations of finite height and Wach modules.** For $r \geq 1$ and $F \subseteq K \subseteq K' \subseteq F_r$, the *Wach modules* of Berger [3] and Berger–Breuil [4] provide a variant of the description of Kisin–Ren [33] of stable $\mathbf{Z}_p$-lattices in $\mathcal{G}_K$-representations whose restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{K'}$ is crystalline. As this variant figures prominently in applications (*e.g.* [1], [13], [17], [22], [23], [37], [38], [39]), for the sake of completeness we now recall the relation between [33] and [3, 4].

We keep our running notation, but from now on we drop the index $r = 0$ and write $u = u_0$, $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_0$, etc. For $T \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ let

$$D^+(T) = (T \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}})^{\mathfrak{H}_F}.$$

It is well-known that this is a free (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathfrak{S}$ of rank $\leq$ the rank of T; see Lemma 4.5.4 below applied to $M = D(T)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{nr}} = T \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$. In fact, $D^+(T)$ is the maximal φ-stable finitely generated $\mathfrak{S}$-submodule of $D(T)$, which is denoted $j_*(D(T))$ in [25, B.1.4]; this holds since $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{nr}}}/\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ has no non-zero φ-stable finitely generated $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$-submodule.

The representation T is called *of finite height* if the rank of $D^+(T)$ is equal to the rank of T, which implies that $D^+(T) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong D(T)$. By a slight abuse of terminology, we call T *crystabelline* if its restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{F_r}$ is crystalline for some r. If T is of finite height and de Rham, then T is crystabelline by [44, §A.5]. Conversely, crystabelline implies finite height by [4, Th. 2.5.3] or by [33].

Assume that T is of finite height. Let $V = T[1/p]$ and $D^+(V) = (V \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathrm{nr}})^{\mathfrak{H}_F}$, thus $D^+(V) = D^+(T)[1/p]$. To simplify the terminology, an $\mathfrak{S}[1/p]$-module $N \subseteq D^+(V)$ or an $\mathfrak{S}$-module $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq D^+(T)$ will be called *distinguished* if the module is free of rank $\dim(V)$ and stable under Γ_K and if Γ_K acts through a finite quotient on N/uN or $\mathfrak{N}/u\mathfrak{N}$. We note the following elementary fact, see for example [3, Le. II.1.3] and its proof.

Lemma 4.5.1. *The set of distinguished submodules $N \subseteq D^+(V)$ is in bijection to the set of distinguished submodules $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq D^+(T)$ with $\mathfrak{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = D(T)$, via $\mathfrak{N} = N \cap D^+(T)$ and $N = \mathfrak{N}[1/p]$.* □

Assume now that T is of finite height and de Rham with non-positive Hodge-Tate weights. Then by [44, §A.5] there is a distinguished submodule $N \subseteq D^+(V)$, or equivalently $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq D^+(T)$. There are two standard normalizations which make N

and $\mathfrak{N}$ unique. First, by [4, Th. 3.1.1] there is a unique distinguished submodule $N(V) \subseteq D^+(V)$ such that $D^+(V)/N(V)$ is annihilated by a power of u. This is the maximal distinguished submodule, and $N(V)$ is stable under φ. Explicitly, if N is any distinguished submodule of $D^+(V)$, then

$$N(V) = D^+(V) \cap N[E_n(u)^{-1}]_{n\geq 1}, \tag{4.5.1}$$

see the proof of [4, Th. 3.1.1]. The corresponding module $\mathfrak{N}(T) = N(V) \cap D^+(T)$ is the unique distinguished submodue of $D^+(T)$ such that $D^+(T)/\mathfrak{N}(T)$ is annihilated by a power of u; note that this condition implies that $\mathfrak{N} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = D(T)$. We call $N(V)$ and $\mathfrak{N}(T)$ the *Wach modules* of V and of T.

Second, assume that $K \subseteq F_r$ and that T becomes crystalline over $\mathcal{G}_{F_r}$ for some $r \geq 1$, again with non-positive Hodge-Tate weights. Then [33, Cor. 3.3.8] implies that there is a unique distinguished submodule $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T) \subseteq D^+(T)$ which is stable under φ such that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)/\varphi^*\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$ is annihilated by a power of $E_r(u)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T) \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = D(T)$. The corresponding module $M_{\mathrm{KR}}(V) = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}[1/p]$ is the unique distinguished submodule of $D^+(V)$ which is stable under φ such that $M_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)/\varphi^* M_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$ is annihilated by a power of $E_r(u)$. Naturally, $M_{\mathrm{KR}}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$ will be called the *Kisin-Ren* modules of V and of T.

The relation between Wach modules and Kisin–Ren modules is as follows:

Proposition 4.5.2. *Let V be a p-adic representation of $\mathcal{G}_K$ with non-positive Hodge–Tate weights and restriction to $\mathcal{G}_{F_r}$ that is crystalline. Then*

$$N(V) = D^+(V) \cap M_{\mathrm{KR}}(V)[E_n(u)^{-1}]_{1\leq n\leq r}$$

and $M_{\mathrm{KR}}(V) = N_r(V)$, where $N_i = N_i(V)$ is defined recursively by

$$N_{i+1} = \varphi^*(N_i)[E_r(u)^{-1}] \cap N_i, \quad \text{and} \quad N_1 := N(V).$$

In particular, for $r = 1$ we have $M_{\mathrm{KR}}(V) = N(V)$.

Proof. Using [4, Le. 2.1.2], one can see that $D^+(V)/\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(V)$ is annihilated by a power of $E_1(u)\cdots E_r(u)$, and the asserted formula for $N(V)$ then follows from (4.5.1). On the other hand, the quotient $N(V)/\varphi^* N(V)$ is annihilated by a power of $E_1(u)\cdots E_r(u)$ due to [4, Cor. 3.2.6], and the claimed iterative construction of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(V)$ from $N(V)$ follows from this. □

Remark 4.5.3. When $T = T_p(G)^\vee$ for an object G of $\mathrm{pdiv}(\mathcal{O}_{K'})^{\Gamma_K}$, we have three associated modules: the Wach module $N(T)$, the Kisin-Ren module $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$, and the BT module $\underline{\mathfrak{M}}_r(G) \in \mathrm{BT}(\underline{\mathfrak{S}}_r)^{\Gamma_K}$ of Proposition 3.4.5. By Proposition 4.4.6 and (4.4.3), we have $\mathfrak{M}_r(G) = \mathfrak{S}_r \otimes_{\lambda_{r,0}^{-1},\mathfrak{S}_0} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$. The relation between $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{KR}}(T)$ and $N(T)$ is given by Proposition 4.5.2 together with Lemma 4.5.1.

Lemma 4.5.4. *Let M be a finite free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$-module and $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ a finite free $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}$-module, both of rank d, together with an isomorphism $M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{nr}}} \cong \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{nr}}} \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$. Then the $\mathfrak{S}$-module $\mathfrak{M} = M \cap \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ is free of rank $\leq d$. If the rank is equal to d then $\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = M$.*

Proof. Cf. [19, Lemme III.3]. Let us first consider an analogous question modulo p. Let $\overline{M} = M/p$, a vector space over $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/p$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}^{\mathrm{nr}} = \mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{nr}}/p$, a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{sep}}}$-module, and put $\overline{\mathfrak{M}} = \overline{M} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{M}}^{\mathrm{nr}}$, an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{E}}$-module. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ contains a basis of $\overline{M}$, and we claim that $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is free of rank d. If not, then $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is not finitely generated, and we find a strictly ascending sequence $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \ldots \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ of free submodules N_i of rank d. By passing to the determinant we see that this cannot exist, which proves the claim. Now the image of $\mathfrak{M} \to M/p^n$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{M}_n = \mathfrak{M}/p^n$, and we have $\mathfrak{M} = \varprojlim_n \mathfrak{M}_n$. Since $\mathfrak{M}_1 \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{M}}$, the module $\mathfrak{M}_1$ is free of rank $\leq d$. A basis of $\mathfrak{M}_1$ lifts to a basis of $\mathfrak{M}$. The final assertion is clear. □

References

[1] Denis Benois and Laurent Berger. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations cristallines. II. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 83(3):603–677, 2008.

[2] Laurent Berger. Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles. Invent. Math. **148** (2002), 219–284

[3] Laurent Berger. Limites de représentations cristallines. *Compos. Math.*, 140(6):1473–1498, 2004.

[4] Laurent Berger and Christophe Breuil. Sur quelques représentations potentiellement cristallines de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. *Astérisque*, (330):155–211, 2010.

[5] Pierre Berthelot, Lawrence Breen, and William Messing. *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. II*, volume 930 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

[6] Pierre Berthelot and William Messing. Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. I. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. I*, volume 63 of *Astérisque*, pages 17–37. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979.

[7] Pierre Berthelot. Cohomologie Cristalline des Schémas de Caractérisque $p > 0$. Lecure Notes in Math. 407, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1974

[8] Pierre Berthelot and Arthur Ogus. Note on Crystalline Cohomology. Princeton University Press, 1987

[9] Christophe Breuil. Construction de représentations p-adiques semi-stables. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.* 31(4):281–327, 1998.

[10] Christophe Breuil. Une application de corps des normes. *Compositio Math.* 117:189–203, 1999.

[11] Christophe Breuil. Groupes p-divisibles, groupes finis et modules filtrés. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(2):489–549, 2000.

[12] Olivier Brinon. Représentations p-adiques cristallines et de de Rham dans le cas relatif. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (2008), no. 112

[13] Kevin Buzzard and Toby Gee. Explicit reduction modulo p of certain two-dimensional crystalline representations. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (12):2303–2317, 2009.

[14] Bryden Cais. The Geometry of Hida Families II: Λ-adic (φ, Γ)-modules and Λ-adic Hodge Theory. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.5709*, 2014.

[15] X. Caruso. Représentations galoisiennes p-adiques et (φ, τ)-modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1010.4846*, 2010.

[16] X. Caruso, T. Liu. Quasi-semi-stable representations. Bull. Soc. Math. France **137** (2009), no. 2, 185–223

[17] Seunghwan Chang and Fred Diamond. Extensions of rank one (ϕ, Γ)-modules and crystalline representations. *Compos. Math.*, 147(2):375–427, 2011.

[18] I.S. Cohen. On the structure and ideal theory of complete local rings. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1946), 54–106.

[19] Pierre Colmez. Représentations cristallines et représentations de hauteur finie. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 514:119–143, 1999.

[20] Pierre Colmez. Espaces vectoriels de dimension finie et représentations de de Rham. *Astérisque*, (319):117–186, 2008. Représentations p-adiques de groupes p-adiques. I. Représentations galoisiennes et (ϕ, Γ)-modules.

[21] Johan de Jong. Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry. *Publ. math. IHES*, 82:5–96, 1995.

[22] Lionel Dorat. G-structures entières et modules de Wach. *Doc. Math.*, 12:399–440 (electronic), 2007.

[23] Gerasimos Dousmanis. On reductions of families of crystalline Galois representations. *Doc. Math.*, 15:873–938, 2010.

[24] Gerd Faltings. Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):117–144, 1999.

[25] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Représentations p-adiques des corps locaux. I. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, volume 87 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 249–309. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[26] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Modules galoisiens, modules filtrés et anneaux de Barsotti-Tate. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes. (Rennes, 1978), Vol. III*, volume 65 of *Astérisque*, pages 3–80. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979.

[27] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Extensions algébrique et corps des normes des extensions APF des corps locaux. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 288(8):A441–A444, 1979.

[28] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Le "corps des normes" de certaines extensions algébriques de corps locaux. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 288(6):A367–A370, 1979.

[29] Alexander Grothendieck. Groupes de Barsotti-Tate et cristaux de Dieudonné. Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, Que., 1974

[30] Kiran S. Kedlaya. Frobenius modules and de Jong's theorem. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(2-3):303–320, 2005.

[31] Wansu Kim. The relative Breuil-Kisin classification of p-divisible groups and finite flat group schemes. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 17 (2015), 8152–8232.

[32] Mark Kisin. Crystalline representations and F-crystals. In *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, volume 253 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 459–496. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.

[33] Mark Kisin and Wei Ren. Galois representations and Lubin-Tate groups. *Doc. Math.*, 14:441–461, 2009.

[34] Guy Laffaille. Groupes p-divisibles et modules filtrés: le cas peu ramifié. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 108(2):187–206, 1980.

[35] Eike Lau. Frames and finite group schemes over complete regular local rings. *Doc. Math.*, 15:545–569, 2010.

[36] Eike Lau. Relations between Dieudonné displays and crystalline Dieudonné theory. *Algebra and Number Theory*, 8(9):2201–2262, 2014.

[37] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Wach modules and Iwasawa theory for modular forms. *Asian J. Math.*, 14(4):475–528, 2010.

[38] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Coleman maps and the p-adic regulator. *Algebra Number Theory*, 5(8):1095–1131, 2011.

[39] David Loeffler and Sarah Livia Zerbes. Wach modules and critical slope p-adic L-functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 679:181–206, 2013.

[40] Barry Mazur and William Messing. *Universal extensions and one dimensional crystalline cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 370.

[41] William Messing. *The crystals associated to Barsotti-Tate groups: with applications to abelian schemes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 264.

[42] Adrian Vasiu and Thomas Zink. Breuil's classification of p-divisible groups over regular local rings of arbitrary dimension. In *Algebraic and arithmetic structures of moduli spaces (Sapporo 2007)*, volume 58 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 461–479. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.

[43] Adrian Vasiu and Thomas Zink. Breuil's classification of p-divisible groups over regular local rings of arbitrary dimension. In *Algebraic and arithmetic structures of moduli spaces (Sapporo 2007)*, volume 58 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 461–479. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
[44] Nathalie Wach. Représentations p-adiques potentiellement cristallines. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 124(3):375–400, 1996.
[45] Nathalie Wach. Représentations cristallines de torsion. *Compositio Math.*, 108(2):185–240, 1997.
[46] Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux; applications. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 16(1):59–89, 1983.
[47] T. Zink. A Dieudonné theory for p-divisible groups. Class field theory—its centenary and prospect, 139–160, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **30**, Math. Soc. Japan 2001
[48] T. Zink. Windows for displays of p-divisible groups. Moduli of abelian varieties, 491–518, Progr. Math. **195**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001

Bryden Cais, Department of Mathematics, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA
E-mail address: cais@math.arizona.edu

Eike Lau, Fakultät für Elektrotechnik, Informatik und Mathematik, Institut für Mathematik, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany
E-mail address: elau@math.uni-paderborn.de